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## Algebraic geometry in a nutshell

Let $F$ be a (perfect) field. Geometrical objects of interest are subsets of $F^{n}$ which are zeroes of polynomials or complements of such subsets, for instance:

- $F^{n}$ (no polynomial);
- the unit circle $\left\{(x, y) \in F^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}-1=0\right\}(1$ p.);
- the diagonal line $\left\{(x, y) \in F^{2}, x-y=0\right\}$ (1p.);
- their intersection $\left\{(x, y) \in F^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}-1=0, x-y=0\right\}(2$ p.);
- the origin $\{0\} \subset F^{2}:\left\{(x, y) \in F^{2}, x=0, y=0\right\}(2$ p.);
- $F^{2} \backslash\{0\} \ldots$

In practice, we replace these with schemes, for instance $F^{n}$ is replaced with the affine $n$-space $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{n}$ and $F^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is replaced with the scheme $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.

## Knot theory in a nutshell

Topological objects of interest are knots and links.

- A knot is a (closed) topological subspace of the 3 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ which is homeomorphic to the circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.
- An oriented knot is a knot with a "continuous"local trivialization of its tangent bundle, or equivalently of its normal bundle (the ambient space being oriented). There are two orientation classes.
- A link is a finite union of disjoint knots. A link is oriented if all its components (i.e. its knots) are oriented.
- The linking number of an (oriented) link with two components is the number of times one of the components turns around the other component.


## Oriented knots and links in algebraic geometry

Recall that for all $n \geq 1, \mathbb{S}^{n}$ has the same homotopy type as $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}$.
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## Intuition

Think of the normal sheaf of $Z_{i}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$ as a two-dimensional vector space and think of a trivialization of it as a basis of this vector space.

## Orientation classes

## Fact

The orientation classes are parametrized by the elements of $F^{*} /\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}$ (where $\left(F^{*}\right)^{2}=\left\{a \in F^{*}, \exists b \in F^{*}, a=b^{2}\right\}$ ).
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$$
o_{1}: \overline{x-y}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}: \bar{z}^{*} \wedge \overline{a t}^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

## Motivic homotopy theory

## Overview

Motivic homotopy theory (a.k.a. $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-homotopy theory) is a homotopy theory on smooth schemes of finite type over a "nice" base scheme (in our case the perfect field $F$ ).

The idea is to replace the unit interval $[0,1]$ with the affine line $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{1}$.

## References on motivic homotopy theory

- The foundations were laid out in Morel and Voevodsky's article $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-homotopy theory of schemes (1999)
- Its specificities when the base scheme is a perfect field were laid out in Morel's book $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-algebraic topology over a field (2012)
- The nLab page Motivic homotopy theory is nicely done and has plenty of references


## Motivic spheres

There are two analogues of the circle $[0,1] /\{0,1\}$ in motivic homotopy theory: $S^{1}:=\mathbb{A}_{F}^{1} /\{0,1\}$ and the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_{m}:=\mathbb{G}_{m, F}$.

## Motivic spheres

For all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $S^{i}$ the $i$-th smash-product of $S^{1}$ and we call the smash-product $S^{i} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge j}$ (in the stable homotopy category) a motivic sphere.

Note that the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}:=\mathbb{P}_{F}^{1}$ is equal to $S^{1} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}$ in the stable homotopy category.

## Intuition

Think of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ as the set of lines in $F^{2}$, i.e. $\left\{[x: y],(x, y) \in F^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right\}$ with $[\lambda x: \lambda y]=[x: y]$ for all $\lambda \in F^{*}$.

## Morel's Theorem

## Objects of interest

The groups of morphisms $\left[S^{i} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge j}, S^{k} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge /}\right]=\left[S^{i-k}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge(I-j)}\right]$ in the stable homotopy category.

Similarly to the fact that the stable homotopy group $\pi_{i}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right)=0$ if $i<0$, the group $\left[S^{i}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge j}\right]$ is equal to 0 if $i<0$ (with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

## Morel's theorem

Morel gave a presentation by generators and relations of the graded ring with unit $\bigoplus\left[S^{0}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge n}\right]$ (where the product is given by the smash-product). $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

The generators are denoted $[a] \in\left[S^{0}, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]$ for every $a \in F^{*}$ and $\eta \in\left[S^{0}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge(-1)}\right]=\left[\mathbb{A}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{P}^{1}\right]$ which sends $(x, y)$ to $[x: y]$.

## Milnor-Witt K-theory

## Definition

The graded ring with unit $K_{*}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F):=\bigoplus\left[S^{0}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge n}\right]$ is called the $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
Milnor-Witt $K$-theory ring of $F$. We denote $K_{n}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F):=\left[S^{0}, \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge n}\right]$.
We denote $\langle a\rangle=\eta[a]+1 \in K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ for every $a \in F^{*}$.

## Fact

If $n \leq 0$ then every element of $K_{n}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $\langle a\rangle \eta^{-n}$ with $a \in F^{*}$.
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To a smooth $F$-scheme $Y$, an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{L}$ we associate the corresponding Rost-Schmid complex
 $K_{j-i}^{\mathrm{MW}}(\kappa(p)) \otimes$ a twist which depends on $p$ and $\mathcal{L}$. $i \geq 0 p$ point of codim $i$ in $Y$
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We have an intersection product

$$
\cdot: H^{i}\left(Y, K_{j}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \times H^{i^{\prime}}\left(Y, \underline{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow H^{i+i^{\prime}}\left(Y, \underline{K}_{j+j^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right)
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which makes $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{i}\left(Y, \underline{K}_{j}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right)$ into a graded $K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$-algebra.

## Boundary maps

## Definition

A boundary triple is a 5-tuple $(Z, i, X, j, U)$, or abusively a triple $(Z, X, U)$, with $i: Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion and $j: U \rightarrow X$ an open immersion such that the image of $U$ by $j$ is the complement in $X$ of the image of $Z$ by $i$, where $Z, X, U$ are smooth $F$-schemes of pure dimensions. The boundary map associated to this boundary triple is the morphism

$$
\partial: \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}\left(U, \underline{K}_{*}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\bullet+1+d_{Z}-d_{X}}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{*+d_{Z}-d_{X}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right)
$$

induced by the differential $d$ of the Rost-Schmid complex $\mathcal{C}\left(X, \underline{K}_{*}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right)$, i.e.:

$$
\partial=i^{*} \circ d \circ j_{*}
$$

## The localization long exact sequence:

An analogue of the cohomology long exact sequ. of a pair

## Theorem

Let $(Z, i, X, j, U)$ be a boundary triple. The boundary map induces a morphism $\partial: H^{n+d_{x}-d_{Z}}\left(U, K_{m+d_{X}-d_{Z}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow H^{n+1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{m}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right)$ and we have the following long exact sequence, called the localization long exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \longrightarrow H^{n}\left(Z, K_{m}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H^{n+d_{X}-d_{Z}}\left(X, \underline{K}_{m+d_{X}-d_{Z}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \\
& \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H^{n+d_{X}-d_{Z}}\left(U, K_{m+d_{x}-d_{Z}}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{n+1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{m}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right) \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

## Punctured affine spaces are analogues of spheres

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Rost-Schmid group
$H^{i}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \underline{K}_{j}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\begin{cases}K_{j}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) & \text { if } i=0 \\ K_{j-n}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) & \text { if } i=n-1 . \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
This is similar to the fact in classical homotopy theory that $H^{i}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z} & \text { if } i=0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } i=n-1 . \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$.
Note that $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{n} \backslash\{0\}=S^{n-1} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\wedge n}$ in the stable homotopy category.

## The linking number and its analogue

Let $L=K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}$ be an oriented link (in knot theory) and $\mathscr{L}$ be an oriented link with two components (in motivic knot theory), i.e. a couple of closed immersions $\varphi_{i}: \mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$ with disjoint images $Z_{i}$ and orientation classes $\overline{o_{i}}$. We denote $Z:=Z_{1} \sqcup Z_{2}$.

## The linking number and its analogue: step 1

## Knot theory

The class $S_{i}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \simeq H_{2}^{\mathrm{BM}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}, L\right)$ of Seifert surfaces of the oriented knot $K_{i}$ is the unique class that is sent by the boundary map to the (oriented) fundamental class of $K_{i}$ in $H^{0}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset H^{0}(L)$.
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The class $S_{i}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \simeq H_{2}^{\mathrm{BM}}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}, L\right)$ of Seifert surfaces of the oriented knot $K_{i}$ is the unique class that is sent by the boundary map to the (oriented) fundamental class of $K_{i}$ in $H^{0}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset H^{0}(L)$.

## Motivic knot theory

We define an analogue $\left[o_{i}\right] \in H^{0}\left(Z_{i}, K_{-1}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z_{i}}\right\}\right)$ of the oriented fundamental class of each oriented component of $\mathscr{L}$ then we define the Seifert class $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ as the unique class in $H^{1}\left(X \backslash Z, \underline{K}_{1}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right)$ that is sent by the boundary map to the oriented fundamental class $\left[o_{i}\right] \in H^{0}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{-1}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right)$.
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## Knot theory

The linking class of $L$ is the image of the cup-product $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right)$ by the boundary map $\partial: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \simeq H^{1}(L)$.
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The linking class of $L$ is the image of the cup-product $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right)$ by the boundary map $\partial: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash L\right) \simeq H^{1}(L)$.

Motivic knot theory
We define the quadratic linking class of $\mathscr{L}$ as the image of the intersection product $\mathcal{S}_{1} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{2} \in H^{2}\left(X \backslash Z, K_{2}^{\text {MW }}\right)$ by the boundary map $\partial: H^{2}\left(X \backslash Z, \underline{K}_{2}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right)$.

## The linking number and its analogue: step 3

## Knot theory

The linking number of $L=K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}$ is the integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the linking class in $H^{1}(L)=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{K_{1}}\right] \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{K_{2}}\right]$ is equal to ( $n\left[\omega_{K_{1}}\right],-n\left[\omega_{K_{2}}\right]$ ) (where $\omega_{K_{i}}$ is the volume form of the oriented knot $K_{i}$ ).

## The linking number and its analogue: step 3

## Knot theory

The linking number of $L=K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}$ is the integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the linking class in $H^{1}(L)=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{K_{1}}\right] \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{K_{2}}\right]$ is equal to ( $n\left[\omega_{K_{1}}\right],-n\left[\omega_{K_{2}}\right]$ ) (where $\omega_{K_{i}}$ is the volume form of the oriented knot $K_{i}$ ).

## Motivic knot theory

We define the quadratic linking degree of $\mathscr{L}$ as the image of the quadratic linking class of $\mathscr{L}$ by the isomorphism
$H^{1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \oplus K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$.

We fixed an isomorphism $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\right) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ once and for all. Recall that $K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ is generated by the $\langle a\rangle \eta^{2}$ with $a \in F^{*}$.

## The Hopf link

Recall that we fixed coordinates $x, y, z, t$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{4}$ and $u, v$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2}$.

- The image of the Hopf link:

$$
\{x=0, y=0\} \sqcup\{z=0, t=0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}
$$

- The parametrization of the Hopf link:

$$
\varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(0,0, u, v), \varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v, 0,0)
$$

- The orientation of the Hopf link:

$$
o_{1}: \bar{x}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}: \bar{z}^{*} \wedge \bar{t}^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

## The quadratic linking degree of the Hopf link

| Or. fund. classes | $\eta \otimes\left(\bar{x}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*}\right)$ | $\eta \otimes\left(\bar{z}^{*} \wedge \bar{t}^{*}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seifert classes | $\langle x\rangle \otimes \bar{y}^{*}$ |  |  |
| Apply int. prod. | $\langle x z\rangle \otimes\left(\bar{t}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*}\right)$ |  |  |
| Quad. link. class | $-\langle z\rangle \eta \otimes\left(\bar{t}^{*} \wedge \bar{x}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*}\right)$ | $\oplus$ |  |
| Apply $\widetilde{o_{1}} \oplus \widetilde{o_{2}}$ | $-\langle z\rangle \eta \otimes \bar{t}^{*}$ | $\oplus$ |  |
| Apply $\varphi_{1}^{*} \oplus \varphi_{2}^{*}$ | $\left.-\langle u\rangle \eta \otimes \bar{v}^{*} \wedge \bar{z}^{*} \wedge \bar{t}^{*}\right)$ |  |  |
| Apply $\partial \oplus \partial$ | $\oplus x\rangle \eta \otimes \bar{y}^{*}$ |  |  |
| Quad. link. degree | $-\eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$ | $\oplus$ |  |

## A variant of the Hopf link

- The image is the same as the Hopf link's image:

$$
\{x=y, y=0\} \sqcup\{z=0, a \times t=0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\} \text { with } a \in F^{*}
$$

- The parametrization is the same:

$$
\varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(0,0, u, v), \varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v, 0,0)
$$

- The orientation is different:

$$
o_{1}: \overline{x-y}^{*} \wedge \bar{y}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}: \bar{z}^{*} \wedge \overline{a t}^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

## The quadratic linking degree of a variant of the Hopf link

$\left[o_{1}^{\text {var }}\right]=\eta \otimes \overline{x-y^{*}} \wedge \bar{y}^{*}=\left[o_{1}^{\text {Hopf }}\right] \quad\left[o_{2}^{\text {var }}\right]=\eta \otimes \bar{z}^{*} \wedge \overline{a t^{*}}=\langle a\rangle\left[o_{2}^{\text {Hopf }}\right]$
since $\binom{x-y}{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y} \quad$ since $\binom{z}{a t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right)\binom{z}{t}$
$\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {var }}=\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {Hopf }}$
$\mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {var }}=\langle a\rangle \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {Hopf }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {var } \cdot \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {var }}=\langle a\rangle \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {Hopf }} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {Hopf }}} \\
& \partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {var }} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {var }}\right)=\langle a\rangle \partial\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\text {Hopf }} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{2}^{\text {Hopf }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quadratic linking degree is $\left(-\langle a\rangle \eta^{2}, \eta^{2}\right)$.

## Fact

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an oriented link with two components of quadratic linking degree $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \oplus K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ be a couple of elements of $F^{*}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{a}$ be the link obtained from $\mathscr{L}$ by changing the orientation $o_{1}$ into $o_{1} \circ\left(\times a_{1}\right)$ and the orientation $o_{2}$ into $o_{2} \circ\left(\times a_{2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Qlc}_{\mathscr{L}_{a}}=\left\langle a_{1} a_{2}\right\rangle$ Qlc $_{\mathscr{L}}$ and $\operatorname{Qld} \mathscr{L}_{a}=\left(\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle d_{1},\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle d_{2}\right)$.
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Similarly, changes of parametrizations of the link can only multiply each component of the quadratic linking degree by elements of the form $\langle a\rangle$ with $a \in F^{*}$ (and do not change the quadratic linking class).

## Fact
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Similarly, changes of parametrizations of the link can only multiply each component of the quadratic linking degree by elements of the form $\langle a\rangle$ with $a \in F^{*}$ (and do not change the quadratic linking class).

We want invariants of the quadratic linking degree. (Similarly to the absolute value of the linking number in knot theory)

## Why a "quadratic" linking degree?

- The (commutative) ring with unit $K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck-Witt ring $\mathrm{GW}(F)$ of $F$ via $\langle a\rangle \in K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \leftrightarrow\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(F)$.
- For all $n<0$, the abelian group $K_{n}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ is isomorphic to the Witt group $\mathrm{W}(F)$ of $F$ via $\langle a\rangle \eta^{-n} \in K_{n}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \leftrightarrow\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{W}(F)$.

The real definition of the quadratic linking degree
We define the quadratic linking degree of $\mathscr{L}$ as the image of the quadratic linking class of $\mathscr{L}$ by the isomorphism $H^{1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \oplus K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$.

## Why a "quadratic" linking degree?

- The (commutative) ring with unit $K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck-Witt ring $\mathrm{GW}(F)$ of $F$ via $\langle a\rangle \in K_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \leftrightarrow\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(F)$.
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## The real definition of the quadratic linking degree

We define the quadratic linking degree of $\mathscr{L}$ as the image of the quadratic linking class of $\mathscr{L}$ by the isomorphism
$H^{1}\left(Z, \underline{K}_{0}^{\mathrm{MW}}\left\{\nu_{Z}\right\}\right) \rightarrow K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \oplus K_{-2}^{\mathrm{MW}}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$.
The Grothendieck-Witt ring of $F$ and the Witt ring of $F$ (and underlying Witt group of $F$ ) are constructed from symmetric bilinear forms on $F$. If $F$ is of characteristic different from 2 (i.e. $2 \neq 0$ in $F$ ) then they are also constructed from quadratic forms.

## Interlude: symmetric bilinear forms and quadratic forms

## Definition

- A bilinear form on an $F$-vector space $V$ of finite dimension is a bilinear map $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$. It is symmetric if for all $v, w \in V$, $b(v, w)=b(w, v)$.
- If $F$ is of characterisitic different from 2, a quadratic form on $V$ is a map $q: V \rightarrow F$ such that the map
$b:\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}V \times V & \rightarrow & F \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & \frac{1}{2}(q(x+y)-q(x)-q(y))\end{array}\right.$ is a symmetric bilinear form such that for all $x \in V, b(x, x)=q(x)$. We call $b$ the polar form of $q$.

Note that if $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$ is a symmetric bilinear form and $F$ is of characterisitic different from 2 then $q:\left\{\begin{array}{rlc}V & \rightarrow & F \\ x & \mapsto & b(x, x)\end{array}\right.$ is a quadratic form (of polar form $b$ ).

## Definition

Let $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$ and $b^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ be symmetric bilinear forms.

- The (orthogonal) sum of $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ is the symmetric bilinear form $b \perp b^{\prime}:\left(V \oplus V^{\prime}\right) \times\left(V \oplus V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F$ which sends $\left(\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to $b(x, y)+b^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$.
- The (tensor) product of $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ is the symmetric bilinear form $b \otimes b^{\prime}:\left(V \otimes V^{\prime}\right) \times\left(V \otimes V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow F$ which sends $\left(\sum_{i \in I} x_{i} \otimes x_{i}^{\prime}, \sum_{j \in J} y_{j} \otimes y_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\sum_{(i, j) \in I \times J} b\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \times b^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{j}^{\prime}\right)$.


## Definition

- The symmetric bilinear form $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$ is non-degenerate if 0 is the only element $x$ of $V$ which verifies that for all $y \in V, b(x, y)=0$.
- Two non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$ and $b^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ are isometric if there exists a linear isomorphism $u: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ such that for all $x, y \in V, b(x, y)=b^{\prime}(u(x), u(y))$.

This gives a structure of commutative semiring (commutative monoid + commutative product) on the isometry classes. Grothendieck's construction gives a commutative ring: the Grothendieck-Witt ring of $F$. Its elements are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of the classes
$\langle a\rangle:\left\{\begin{array}{rll}F \times F & \rightarrow & F \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & a x y\end{array}\right.$ of symmetric bilinear forms (with $a \in F^{*}$ ).
If $F$ is of characteristic $\neq 2$, as a quadratic form $\langle a\rangle:\left\{\begin{array}{clc}F & \rightarrow & F \\ x & \mapsto & a x^{2} .\end{array}\right.$

## Definition

- The hyperbolic plane $b_{h}: F^{2} \times F^{2} \rightarrow F$ is the symmetric bilinear form which sends $\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)$ to $x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}$.
- Two non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms $b: V \times V \rightarrow F$ and $b^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ are Witt-equivalent if there exist $m, n \geq 0$ integers such that $b \perp m b_{h}$ is isometric to $b^{\prime} \perp n b_{h}$.

This gives a structure of commutative ring on the Witt-equivalence classes: the Witt ring of $F$. Its elements are sums of classes
$\langle a\rangle:\left\{\begin{array}{rlc}F \times F & \rightarrow & F \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & a x y\end{array}\right.$ of symmetric bilinear forms (with $a \in F^{*}$ ).
If $F$ is of characteristic $\neq 2$, as a quadratic form $\langle a\rangle:\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}F & \rightarrow & F \\ x & \mapsto & a x^{2}\end{array}\right.$.

## Presentations of GW $(F)$ and $\mathrm{W}(F)$

- As a commutative ring (resp. abelian group), the Grothendieck-Witt ring (resp. group) $\mathrm{GW}(F)$ is generated by the $\langle a\rangle$ for $a \in F^{*}$ subject to the relations :
- $\left\langle a b^{2}\right\rangle=\langle a\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$;
- $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle=\langle a+b\rangle+\langle(a+b) a b\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \in F^{*}$.
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- $\left\langle a b^{2}\right\rangle=\langle a\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*} ;$
- $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle=\langle a+b\rangle+\langle(a+b) a b\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \in F^{*}$;
- $\langle 1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle=0$.


## Presentations of GW $(F)$ and $W(F)$
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- $\left\langle a b^{2}\right\rangle=\langle a\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*} ;$
- $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle=\langle a+b\rangle+\langle(a+b) a b\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \in F^{*}$;
- $\langle 1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle=0$.
- This last relation corresponds to the vanishing of the hyperbolic plane.


## Examples of Witt rings

- $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ via the rank modulo 2 (where the rank of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ is $n$ )
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## Examples of Witt rings

- $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ via the rank modulo 2 (where the rank of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ is $n$ )
- $W(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ via the signature (where the signature of

$$
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{p}\langle 1\rangle+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\langle-1\rangle \text { is } p-q\right)
$$

- $W(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq W(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \oplus_{p \text { prime }} W(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ via $\langle a\rangle \mapsto\langle a\rangle+\sum_{p \text { prime divisor of } a\left\langle\frac{\bar{\partial}}{p}\right\rangle}$
- $W(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ via the rank modulo 2
- For all $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4, \mathrm{~W}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ via the signature modulo 4
- For all $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \mathrm{~W}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ via the "signature couple" modulo 2 (if $a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is not a square, $\sum_{i=1}^{p}\langle 1\rangle+\sum_{j=1}^{q}\langle a\rangle$ is sent to $(p \bmod 2, q \bmod 2))$

It is difficult in general to know if two elements of the Witt group $\mathrm{W}(F)$ are equal. For instance, let $a, b, c, d \in F^{*}$ such that $d$ is not a square in $F^{*}$ and such that (1) and (2) below are well-defined. Can you tell which of the two following elements of $W(F)$ is equal to $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle$ ? (There is exactly one which is equal to $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle$ )
(1) $\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d\right) a b d\right\rangle$
(2) $\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d^{2}\right) a b\right\rangle$

Recall that the relations in $W(F)$ are:

- $\left\langle a b^{2}\right\rangle=\langle a\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$;
- $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle=\langle a+b\rangle+\langle(a+b) a b\rangle$ for all $a, b \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \in F^{*}$;
- $\langle 1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle=0$.


## Solution

The second one is equal to $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle$. Indeed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle=\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b) a b d^{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d^{2}\right) a b(a+b)^{2} c^{2} d^{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d^{2}\right) a b\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

To see that the first one is different from $\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle$, we will use one of the invariants presented later in this talk.

## Invariants by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$

## Case $F=\mathbb{R}$

If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of an element of $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is invariant by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$.

## Invariants by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$

## Case $F=\mathbb{R}$

If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of an element of $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is invariant by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$.

## General case

The rank modulo 2 is invariant by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$.

## Definition

Let $d=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \in \mathrm{W}(F)$. There exists a unique sequence of abelian groups $Q_{d, k}$ and of elements $\Sigma_{k}(d) \in Q_{d, k}$, where $k$ ranges over the nonnegative even integers, such that:

- $Q_{d, 0}=W(F)$ and $\Sigma_{0}(d)=1 \in Q_{d, 0}$;
- for each positive even integer $k, Q_{d, k}$ is the quotient group

$$
Q_{d, k-2} /\left(\Sigma_{k-2}(d)\right)
$$

- for each positive even integer $k$,

$$
\Sigma_{k}(d)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n}\left\langle\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_{i_{j}}\right\rangle \in Q_{d, k} .
$$

## General case

The $\Sigma_{k}$ are invariant by multiplication by $\langle a\rangle$ for all $a \in F^{*}$.

- $\Sigma_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{W}(F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle\end{array}\left(\right.\right.$ if $n<2$, it sends $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ to 0$)$
- $\Sigma_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{W}(F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle\end{array}\right.$ (if $n<2$, it sends $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ to 0$)$
- This is not interesting if $\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)=0$ (for instance if $F=\mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\Sigma_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}W(F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle\end{array}\right.$ (if $n<2$, it sends $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ to 0$)$
- This is not interesting if $\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)=0$ (for instance if $F=\mathbb{R}$ ).
- It is interesting for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ for instance: $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Q}) /(1) \simeq \bigoplus_{p \text { prime }} \mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$.
- $\Sigma_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{W}(F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle\end{array}\right.$ (if $n<2$, it sends $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ to 0$)$
- This is not interesting if $\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)=0$ (for instance if $F=\mathbb{R}$ ).
- It is interesting for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ for instance: $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Q}) /(1) \simeq \bigoplus_{p \text { prime }} \mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$.
$\Sigma_{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{W}(F) \rightarrow \bigcup_{d \in \mathrm{~W}(F)}(\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)) /\left(\Sigma_{2}(d)\right) \\ n\end{array}\right.$
$\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k<l \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l}\right\rangle$
- $\Sigma_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{W}(F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle\end{array}\right.$ (if $n<2$, it sends $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ to 0$)$
- This is not interesting if $\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)=0$ (for instance if $F=\mathbb{R}$ ).
- It is interesting for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ for instance: $\mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Q}) /(1) \simeq \bigoplus_{p \text { prime }} \mathrm{W}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$.
- $\Sigma_{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{W}(F) & \rightarrow & \bigcup_{d \in \mathrm{~W}(F)}(\mathrm{W}(F) /(1)) /\left(\Sigma_{2}(d)\right) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle & \mapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i<j<k<1 \leq n}\left\langle a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l}\right\rangle\end{array}\right.$
- We only want to compare $\Sigma_{4}(d)$ and $\Sigma_{4}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ if $\Sigma_{2}(d)=\Sigma_{2}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{2}\left(\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d\right) a b d\right\rangle\right)= \\
& \left\langle\left((a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d\right)(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d\right) a b d\right\rangle=\langle a b d\rangle \neq\langle a b\rangle \in \mathrm{W}(F) /(1) \\
& \text { since } d \text { is not a square in } F^{*} \text {. Since } \Sigma_{2}(\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle)=\langle a b\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle \neq\left\langle(a+b) c^{2}+(a+b) a b d\right\rangle+\left\langle(a+b)\left(c^{2}+a b d\right) a b d\right\rangle \in \mathrm{W}(F)
$$

## Application: invariants of the quadratic linking degree

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an oriented link with two components (in motivic knot theory). We denote by $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ its quadratic linking degree.

- If $F=\mathbb{R}$ then the absolute value of $d_{1}$ and the absolute value of $d_{2}$ are invariant under changes of orientations $o_{1}, o_{2}$ and of parametrizations of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.


## Application: invariants of the quadratic linking degree

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an oriented link with two components (in motivic knot theory). We denote by $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ its quadratic linking degree.

- If $F=\mathbb{R}$ then the absolute value of $d_{1}$ and the absolute value of $d_{2}$ are invariant under changes of orientations $o_{1}, o_{2}$ and of parametrizations of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.
- The rank modulo 2 of $d_{1}$ and the rank modulo 2 of $d_{2}$ are invariant under changes of orientations $o_{1}, o_{2}$ and of parametrizations of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.


## Application: invariants of the quadratic linking degree

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an oriented link with two components (in motivic knot theory). We denote by $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ its quadratic linking degree.
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- The rank modulo 2 of $d_{1}$ and the rank modulo 2 of $d_{2}$ are invariant under changes of orientations $o_{1}, o_{2}$ and of parametrizations of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.
- For every positive even integer $k, \Sigma_{k}\left(d_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{k}\left(d_{2}\right)$ are invariant under changes of orientations $o_{1}, o_{2}$ and of parametrizations of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{F}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.


## Another Hopf link

From now on, $F$ is a perfect field of characteristic different from 2. Recall that we fixed coordinates $x, y, z, t$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{4}$ and $u, v$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2}$.

- The image is different from the Hopf link we saw before:

$$
\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}
$$

But the change of coordinates $x^{\prime}=z-x, y^{\prime}=t-y, z^{\prime}=z+x$, $t^{\prime}=t+y$ would give $\left\{x^{\prime}=0, y^{\prime}=0\right\} \sqcup\left\{z^{\prime}=0, t^{\prime}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.
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- The parametrization is $\varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v, u, v)$ and $\varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v,-u,-v)$.
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From now on, $F$ is a perfect field of characteristic different from 2. Recall that we fixed coordinates $x, y, z, t$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{4}$ and $u, v$ for $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{2}$.

- The image is different from the Hopf link we saw before:

$$
\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}
$$

But the change of coordinates $x^{\prime}=z-x, y^{\prime}=t-y, z^{\prime}=z+x$, $t^{\prime}=t+y$ would give $\left\{x^{\prime}=0, y^{\prime}=0\right\} \sqcup\left\{z^{\prime}=0, t^{\prime}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$.

- The parametrization is $\varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v, u, v)$ and $\varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v,-u,-v)$.
- The orientation is the following:

$$
o_{1}: \overline{z-x}^{*} \wedge \overline{t-y}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}: \overline{z+x}^{*} \wedge \overline{t+y}{ }^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is $(\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle)=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathbf{W}(F)$.
- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is $(\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle)=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$.
- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is $(\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle)=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$.
- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 1 .
- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is $(\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle)=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$.
- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 1 .
- The rank modulo 2 of each component is 1 .
- This Hopf link is an analogue of the Hopf link in knot theory! In knot theory, the Hopf link is given by $\{z=x, t=y\} \sqcup\{z=-x, t=-y\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\right\}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 1 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is $(\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle)=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathbf{W}(F)$.
- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 1 .
- The rank modulo 2 of each component is 1 .
- For every positive even integer $k$, the image by $\Sigma_{k}$ of each component is 0 .


## The Solomon link

- In knot theory, the Solomon link is given by $\left\{z=x^{2}-y^{2}, t=2 x y\right\} \sqcup$ $\left\{z=-x^{2}+y^{2}, t=-2 x y\right\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}^{3}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough and has linking number 2.
- In motivic knot theory, the image of the Solomon link is:

$$
\left\{z=x^{2}-y^{2}, t=2 x y\right\} \sqcup\left\{z=-x^{2}+y^{2}, t=-2 x y\right\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}
$$

- The parametrization is $\varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow\left(u, v, u^{2}-v^{2}, 2 u v\right)$ and $\varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow\left(u, v,-u^{2}+v^{2},-2 u v\right)$.
- The orientation is the following:

$$
o_{1}:{\overline{z-x^{2}+y^{2}}}^{*} \wedge \overline{t-2 x y}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}:{\overline{z+x^{2}-y^{2}}}^{*} \wedge \overline{t+2 x y}^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle+\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle+\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 2 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle+\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 2 .
- The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle+\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 2 .
- The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .
- For every positive even integer $k$, the image by $\Sigma_{k}$ of each component is 0 .
- Its quadratic linking degree is

$$
(\langle 1\rangle+\langle 1\rangle,\langle-1\rangle+\langle-1\rangle)=(2,-2) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F) .
$$

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle+\langle b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b \in F^{*}$.
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is 2 .
- The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .
- For every positive even integer $k$, the image by $\Sigma_{k}$ of each component is 0 .
- More generally, we have analogues of the torus links $T(2,2 n)$ (of linking number $n$ ); the quadratic linking degree of $T(2,2 n)$ is $(n,-n) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$, which gives $n$ as absolute value if $F=\mathbb{R}, n$ modulo 2 as rank modulo 2 , and 0 for the $\Sigma_{k}$.


## Binary links

- The image of the binary link $B_{a}$ with $a \in F^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$ :

$$
\left\{f_{1}=0, g_{1}=0\right\} \sqcup\left\{f_{2}=0, g_{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{F}^{4} \backslash\{0\}
$$

with $f_{1}=t-((1+a) x-y) y, g_{1}=z-x(x-y)$,

$$
f_{2}=t+((1+a) x-y) y, g_{2}=z+x(x-y)
$$

- The parametrization of the binary link $B_{a}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v, \quad((1+a) u-v) v, \quad u(u-v)) \\
& \varphi_{2}:(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow(u, v,-((1+a) u-v) v,-u(u-v))
\end{aligned}
$$

- The orientation of the binary link $B_{a}$ :

$$
o_{1}:{\bar{f}_{1}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*} \mapsto 1, o_{2}:{\bar{f}_{2}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \mapsto 1
$$

| Or. fund. cyc. | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{1}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right)$ | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seifert divisors | $\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \otimes{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}$ | $\left\langle f_{1} f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{\bar{g}_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x-y)$ |  |
| Apply inter. | $+\left\langle f_{1} f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x)$ |  |  |
| prod. | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| Apply $\partial \oplus \partial$ | $(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$ | $\oplus$ |  |$\left.-(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)\right]$.


| Or. fund. cyc. | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{1}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right)$ | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Seifert divisors | $\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \otimes{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}$ | $\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*}$ |
| Apply inter. | $\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x-y)$ |  |
| prod. | $+\left\langle f_{1} f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x)$ |  |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| Apply $\partial \oplus \partial$ | $(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$ | $\oplus$ |$-(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$.

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle,\langle c a\rangle+\langle c b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b, c \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \neq 0$. The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle,\langle c a\rangle+\langle c b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b, c \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \neq 0$. The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \text { if } a>0 \\ 0 \text { if } a<0\end{array}\right.$

| Or. fund. cyc. | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{1}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right)$ | $\eta \otimes\left({\overline{f_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Seifert divisors | $\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \otimes{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}$ | $\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes{\overline{g_{2}}}^{*}$ |
| Apply inter. | $\left\langle f_{1} f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x-y)$ |  |
| prod. | $+\left\langle f_{1} f_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left({\overline{g_{2}}}^{*} \wedge{\overline{g_{1}}}^{*}\right) \cdot(z, x)$ |  |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| Apply $\partial \oplus \partial$ | $(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$ | $\oplus$ |$-(1+\langle a\rangle) \eta^{2} \otimes\left(\bar{u}^{*} \wedge \bar{v}^{*}\right)$.

- If we change its orientations and its parametrizations then we get $(\langle a\rangle+\langle b\rangle,\langle c a\rangle+\langle c b\rangle) \in \mathrm{W}(F) \oplus \mathrm{W}(F)$ with $a, b, c \in F^{*}$ such that $a+b \neq 0$. The rank modulo 2 of each component is 0 .
- If $F=\mathbb{R}$, the absolute value of each component is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \text { if } a>0 \\ 0 \text { if } a<0\end{array}\right.$
- $\Sigma_{2}$ of each component is $\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{W}(F) /(1)$. For instance, if $F=\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma_{2}$ distinguishes between all the $B_{p}$ with $p$ prime numbers. $\Sigma_{4}=0$ etc.
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