
**ON p -ADIC ANALOGUES OF
THE BIRCH AND SWINNERTON-DYER CONJECTURE
FOR GARRETT L -FUNCTIONS**

by

Massimo Bertolini, Marco Adamo Seveso & Rodolfo Venerucci

Contents

Introduction.....	1
1. The p -adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.....	2
2. Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairings.....	9
3. Diagonal classes and rational points.....	15
References.....	25

Introduction

Let A be an elliptic curve over the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers and let ϱ_1, ϱ_2 be a pair of two-dimensional odd Artin representations of the absolute Galois group of \mathbf{Q} . Set $\varrho = \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2$ and denote by K_ϱ the extension of \mathbf{Q} cut out by ϱ . Assume the self-duality hypothesis $\det(\varrho_1) = \det(\varrho_2)^{-1}$. The equivariant Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture aims at understanding the ϱ -component $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ of the Mordell-Weil group of A/K_ϱ in terms of the complex L -function $L(A, \varrho, s)$ of A twisted by ϱ .

The purpose of this article is twofold. The first objective is to formulate a p -adic analogue of this equivariant Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Assume for simplicity (but see Section 1.1 for generalisations) that p is an ordinary prime for A and that ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 are irreducible. Let (f, g, h) be the triple of cuspidal modular forms associated to $(A, \varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ by the modularity theorems. Hida’s theory associates to (f, g, h) a triple $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ of p -adic families of ordinary cuspidal modular forms, where \mathbf{f} specialises in weight 2 to the unique ordinary p -stabilisation of f , while \mathbf{g}_α and \mathbf{h}_α specialise in weight 1 to a choice of p -stabilisations g_α and h_α of g and h respectively. Our conjecture replaces $L(A, \varrho, s)$ with a p -adic L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ arising from the triple of p -adic families $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$. The L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ interpolates the central critical values of the complex L -functions of $f_k \otimes g_l \otimes h_m$ at triples of classical weights (k, l, m) such that $k \geq l + m$, where f_k, g_l and h_m denotes

the specialisation of \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g}_α and \mathbf{h}_α at k , l and m respectively. A p -adic avatar of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture suggests that the behaviour of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at the triple of weights $(2, 1, 1)$ should reflect the arithmetic of A over K_ϱ . This is the content of our Conjecture 1.1, which states that the order of vanishing of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $(2, 1, 1)$ is equal to the rank of the ϱ -component $A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ of the extended Mordell–Weil group of A/K_ϱ . Furthermore, it relates the leading term of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ to the regulator $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ of a p -adic height pairing on this extended Mordell–Weil group, constructed in Section 2 by exploiting Nekovář’s theory of Selmer complexes associated to Hida’s deformation of the Galois representations of $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$.

The second objective of this article is to understand the Elliptic Stark Conjectures of Darmon, Lauder and Rotger [DLR15, DR16] within the conceptual framework of the p -adic variants of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Under the assumption that the Mordell–Weil rank is equal to 2, the above mentioned works obtained experimentally a relation between an iterated p -adic integral associated to the triple $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ and certain combinations of p -adic logarithms of rational points in the ϱ -component of the Mordell–Weil group of A . Section 3 (see in particular Conjecture 3.4 and Remarks 3.5) shows that these conjectural relations are a consequence of Conjecture 1.1, combined with a formula *à la* Rubin–Perrin-Riou established in Theorem 3.2 for the derivatives of a *big* diagonal class encoding $L_p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ by an explicit reciprocity law.

1. The p -adic Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

This section states the main conjecture of this paper, assuming the precise definition of the Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairings given in Section 2 below. To ease the exposition we state our conjecture for p -ordinary elliptic curves over \mathbf{Q} , i.e. p -stabilised ordinary weight-two newforms with trivial character and rational Fourier coefficients. See Section 1.1 below for possible generalisations.

Fix a rational prime $p > 3$, algebraic closures $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}_p respectively and an embedding of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ into $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. For positive integers k and m , a Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}^*$ and a subfield F of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, denote by $M_k(m, \chi)_F$ the F -module of modular forms of weight k , level $\Gamma_1(m)$, character χ and Fourier coefficients contained in F , and by $S_k(m, \chi)_F$ its subspace of cuspidal modular forms. When χ is the trivial character, we omit it from the notation.

Let A be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbf{Q} and let

$$\varrho = \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2$$

be the tensor product of two odd, two-dimensional Artin representations

$$\varrho_i : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\varrho_i}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\varrho))$$

of $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ with coefficients in a number field $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ (contained in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$), satisfying the *self-duality condition*

$$(1) \quad \det(\varrho_1) = \det(\varrho_2)^{-1}.$$

According to the modularity theorem of Wiles, Taylor–Wiles et al., the p -adic Tate module of A/\mathbf{Q} with \mathbf{Q}_p -coefficients is isomorphic to the dual of the p -adic Deligne representation of the weight-two cuspidal newform

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f) \cdot q^n \in S_2(N_f)_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

where N_f is the conductor of A/\mathbf{Q} and $a_\ell(f) = 1 + \ell - |A(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})|$ for each prime $\ell \nmid N_f$. Similarly, the Serre conjecture, proved by Khare and Wintenberger, implies that ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 are isomorphic respectively to the duals of the Deligne–Serre representations associated with weight-one normalised Hecke eigenforms

$$g = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(g) \cdot q^n \in M_1(N_g, \chi_g)_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)}$$

and

$$h = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(h) \cdot q^n \in M_1(N_h, \chi_h)_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)}$$

of conductors N_g and N_h equal to those of ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 respectively and characters χ_g and $\chi_h = \chi_g^{-1}$ (cf. Equation (1)). The form g (resp., h) is cuspidal precisely if the Artin representation ϱ_1 (resp., ϱ_2) is irreducible.

Assume that A has good ordinary or multiplicative reduction at p , so that N_f is of the form $M_f \cdot p^{r_f}$ with $r_f \leq 1$ and M_f coprime with p . The p -th Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_p(f) \cdot X + 1_{N_f}(p) \cdot p$ of f has a unique root α_f which is a p -adic unit, the other root being $\beta_f = 1_{N_f}(p)p/\alpha_f$. (Here 1_{N_f} is the trivial character modulo N_f .) By Hida theory, the ordinary p -stabilisation

$$f_\alpha(q) = f(q) - \beta_f \cdot f(q^p) \in S_2(M_f p)_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_f)}$$

is the specialisation at weight two of a unique cuspidal Hida family

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\mathbf{f}) \cdot q^n \in \mathcal{O}(U_{\mathbf{f}})[[q]],$$

for a suitable connected open disc $U_{\mathbf{f}}$ centred at 2 in the weight space \mathcal{W} over \mathbf{Q}_p . Here $\mathcal{O}(U_{\mathbf{f}})$ is the ring of analytic functions on $U_{\mathbf{f}}$. For each classical weight k in $U_{\mathbf{f}} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{>2}$, the weight- k specialisation $\mathbf{f}_k = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\mathbf{f})(k) \cdot q^n$ of \mathbf{f} is (the q -expansion of) the ordinary p -stabilisation of a p -ordinary newform f_k of weight k and level $\Gamma_0(M_f)$.

Let ξ denote either g or h , and let α_ξ and $\beta_\xi = \chi_\xi(p)/\alpha_\xi$ be the roots of its p th Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_p(\xi) \cdot X + \chi_\xi(p)$. Fix a finite extension L of \mathbf{Q}_p which contains the Fourier coefficients of ξ , the roots α_f and α_ξ (for $\xi = g, h$), and the N -th roots of unity, where N is the least common multiple of N_f , N_g and N_h . We assume that p does not divide N_ξ and that ξ is *cuspidal* and *p -regular* (viz. the roots α_ξ and β_ξ are distinct). Moreover we assume that ξ is not the theta series associated with a ray class character of a *real* quadratic field in which p *splits*. Under these assumptions the p -stabilisation

$$\xi_\alpha(q) = \xi(q) - \beta_\xi \cdot \xi(q^p) \in S_1(N_\xi p, \chi_\xi)_L$$

is the weight-one specialisation of a unique cuspidal Hida family

$$\xi_\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\xi_\alpha) \cdot q^n \in \mathcal{O}(U_\xi)[[q]],$$

where U_ξ is a (small) connected open neighbourhood of 1 in $\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} L$. For each classical weight u in $U_\xi \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the weight- u specialisation $\xi_{\alpha,u} = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(\xi)(u) \cdot q^n$ is the ordinary p -stabilisation of a p -ordinary newform ξ_u of weight u , level $\Gamma_1(N_\xi)$ and character χ_ξ . We refer the reader to [BSV20] (especially the discussion following Assumption 1.1, Remark 1.4 and Section 5) and the references therein for more details.

Let Σ^{cl} denote the set of classical triples, namely the intersection of $U_f \times U_g \times U_h$ with $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}^3$. Under the self-duality assumption (1), for each (k, l, m) in Σ^{cl} the complex Garrett L -function $L(f_k \otimes g_l \otimes h_m, s)$ admits an analytic continuation to all of \mathbf{C} and satisfies a functional equation with sign $+1$ or -1 relating its values at s and $k + l + m - 2 - s$. Assume from now on that the conductors N_g and N_h of g and h are coprime to the conductor N_f of the elliptic curve A :

$$(2) \quad (N_g \cdot N_h, N_f) = 1.$$

Assumption (2) guarantees that the signs in the above functional equations are equal to $+1$ for all classical triples (k, l, m) in the f -unbalanced region, id est triples (k, l, m) in Σ^{cl} such that $k \geq l + m$. In particular the complex Garrett L -function

$$L(A, \varrho, s) = L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$$

vanishes to *even* order at the central critical point $s = 1$. Set $\mathcal{O}_{fgh} = \mathcal{O}_f \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_g \hat{\otimes}_L \mathcal{O}_h$, where \mathcal{O}_\cdot denotes the ring of bounded functions on U_\cdot . The article [Hsi20] associates to the triple of Hida families $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ a *square-root Garrett–Hida p -adic L -function*

$$\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) = \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_{fgh},$$

whose square, the *Garrett–Hida p -adic L -function of (A, ϱ)* ,

$$L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) = \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)^2$$

interpolates the central critical values

$$L\left(f_k \otimes g_l \otimes h_m, \frac{k + l + m - 2}{2}\right)$$

of the complex Garrett L -functions $L(f_k \otimes g_l \otimes h_m, s)$ at classical triples (k, l, m) in the f -unbalanced region. We refer to [BSV20, Section 6.1] (where $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ is denoted by $L_p(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$) for the precise interpolation property (see in particular Equation (132) of loc. cit.). The L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ is symmetric in the families \mathbf{g}_α and \mathbf{h}_α .

Enlarging $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ if necessary, we assume it contains α_ξ for ξ equal to f, g and h . The weight-one specialisation (cf. Section 2.1 below)

$$V(\xi) = V(\xi_\alpha) \otimes_1 L$$

of the Galois representation $V(\xi_\alpha)$ associated with ξ_α affords the dual of the p -adic Deligne–Serre representation of ξ with coefficients in L . The $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -representation $V(\xi_\alpha)$ is a free rank-two \mathcal{O}_ξ -module and the tensor product $\cdot \otimes_1 L = \cdot \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\xi, 1} L$ is taken with

respect to evaluation at 1 in U_ξ . The global p -adic representation $V(\xi)$ is equipped with a canonical, $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -equivariant, perfect, skew-symmetric pairing

$$(3) \quad \pi_\xi : V(\xi) \otimes_L V(\xi) \longrightarrow L(\chi_\xi),$$

arising as the weight-one specialisation of a suitably twisted Poincaré duality on $V(\xi_\alpha)$ (cf. Section 2.1). Enlarging L if necessary, fix isomorphisms

$$(4) \quad \gamma_g : V_{\varrho_1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \simeq V(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_h : V_{\varrho_2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \simeq V(h)$$

of $L[G_{\mathbf{Q}}]$ -modules such that the perfect dualities $\pi_g \circ \gamma_g \otimes \gamma_g$ and $\pi_h \circ \gamma_h \otimes \gamma_h$ map the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -structures $V_{\varrho_1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho_1}$ and $V_{\varrho_2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho_2}$ into the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -structures $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)(\chi_g)$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)(\chi_h)$ of $L(\chi_g)$ and $L(\chi_h)$ respectively.

Let $V(f) = \mathrm{Ta}_p(A/\mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} L$ be the p -adic Tate module A/\mathbf{Q} with coefficients in L , and let $V(f)^-$ be the maximal unramified quotient of the restriction of $V(f)$ to $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$. It is a 1-dimensional L -module, on which an arithmetic Frobenius in $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ acts as multiplication by α_f . Set $V_\varrho = V_{\varrho_1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho_2}$, $V(f, \varrho) = V(f) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_\varrho$ and $V(f, \varrho)^- = V(f)^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_\varrho$, so that $V(f, \varrho)^-$ is the maximal $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -unramified quotient of $V(f, \varrho)$, on which an arithmetic Frobenius acts with eigenvalues $\alpha_f \alpha_g \alpha_h$, $\alpha_f \beta_g \alpha_h$, $\alpha_f \alpha_g \beta_h$ and $\alpha_f \beta_g \beta_h$. Define the module of p -adic periods of (A, ϱ) :

$$\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)_L = H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, \varrho)^-)$$

to be the space of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -invariants of $V(f, \varrho)^-$. As suggested by the notation

$$\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)_L = \mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L$$

for a canonical $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -submodule $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$ defined as follows. Note first that $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)_L$ is zero if A has good reduction at p . In this case set $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho) = 0$. If A has multiplicative reduction at p , Tate's theory gives a rigid analytic isomorphism

$$\wp_{\mathrm{Tate}} : \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{Q}_{p^2}}^{\mathrm{an}} / q_A^{\mathbf{Z}} \simeq A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^2}},$$

unique up to sign. Here $A_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^2}}$ is the base change of A to the quadratic unramified extension \mathbf{Q}_{p^2} of \mathbf{Q}_p and q_A in $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the Tate period of A . Taking the p -adic Tate modules \wp_{Tate} induces a (canonical up to sign) isomorphism of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p^2}}$ -modules $V(f)^- \simeq L$. Write $q(A)$ in $V(f)^-$ for the element corresponding to the identity of L under this isomorphism and define

$$\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho) = (\mathbf{Q}(\varrho) \cdot q(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_\varrho)^{G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}.$$

Let $X_1(N_f, p)$ be the compact modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N_f, p) = \Gamma_1(N_f) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$ over \mathbf{Q} . Fix a modular parametrisation (viz. a non-constant map of \mathbf{Q} -schemes)

$$\wp_\infty : X_1(N_f, p) \longrightarrow A.$$

Let K_ϱ be a finite Galois extension of \mathbf{Q} such that ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 factor through $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\varrho/\mathbf{Q})$. Define the p -extended Mordell–Weil group of (A, ϱ) by

$$A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho = (A(K_\varrho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} V_\varrho)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K_\varrho/\mathbf{Q})} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho).$$

Section 2 below associates with the triple (f, g_α, h_α) , the modular parametrisation \wp_∞ , and the isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h a Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairing

$$(5) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha} : A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho \times A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2,$$

where \mathcal{I} is the ideal of analytic functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}g\mathbf{h}}$ vanishing at $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$. The pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ is skew-symmetric and associated by cohomological means to an appropriate self-dual twist of the representation $V(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V(\mathbf{g}_\alpha) \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{h}_\alpha)$, viewed as a p -adic deformation of $V(f, g, h) = V(f) \otimes_L V(g) \otimes_L V(h)$. Its construction grounds on Nekovář's theory of Selmer complexes and generalised Poitou–Tate duality [Nek06]. More precisely, after identifying $V(f)$ with the f_α -isotypic component of the cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_1(N_f, p)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, L(1))$ via the fixed modular parametrisation \wp_∞ , Section 2 below defines a *canonical* Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairing

$$(6) \quad \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\alpha} : \text{Sel}^\dagger(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_L \text{Sel}^\dagger(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^2,$$

where the (*naive*) *extended Selmer group*

$$(7) \quad \text{Sel}^\dagger(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) = \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \oplus H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-)$$

is the direct sum of the Bloch–Kato Selmer group of $V(f, g, h)$ over \mathbf{Q} and the module of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -invariants of the maximal p -unramified quotient $V(f, g, h)^-$ of $V(f, g, h)$. The global Kummer map $A(K_\varrho) \longrightarrow H^1(K_\varrho, V(f))$ and the fixed isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h give rise to an embedding $\gamma_{gh} : A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^e \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}^\dagger(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, and one defines (5) as the restriction of the canonical height pairing (6) along γ_{gh} .

Set

$$r^\dagger(A, \varrho) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^e$$

and define the *Garrett–Nekovář regulator*

$$R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \in (\mathcal{I}^{r^\dagger(A, \varrho)} / \mathcal{I}^{r^\dagger(A, \varrho)+1}) / \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*2}$$

to be the discriminant of the Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairing:

$$R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) = \det \left(\langle\langle P_i, P_j \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\alpha} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r^\dagger(A, \varrho)},$$

where $P_1, \dots, P_{r^\dagger(A, \varrho)}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of the p -extended Mordell–Weil group $A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^e$. In view of the normalisation of the isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h fixed in (4), the regulator $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ is independent of the choice of γ_g and γ_h . Moreover, it does not depend on the modular parametrisation \wp_∞ .

If $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$ is non-zero –the *exceptional case*– assume that either

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\text{an}} \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{g}_\alpha}^{\text{an}} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\text{an}} \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{h}_\alpha}^{\text{an}},$$

where the *analytic \mathcal{L} -invariants* of \mathbf{f} and $\xi_\alpha = \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha$ are defined respectively as the logarithmic derivatives

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\text{an}} = -2 \cdot d \log(a_p(\mathbf{f}))_{\mathbf{k}=2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\xi_\alpha}^{\text{an}} = -2 \cdot d \log(a_p(\xi_\alpha))_{\mathbf{u}=1}$$

of -2 times the p th Fourier coefficients of \mathbf{f} and ξ_α at $\mathbf{k} = 2$ and $\mathbf{u} = 1$. Here $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ and \mathcal{O}_{ξ} are identified with subrings of the power series rings $L[[\mathbf{k} - 2]]$ and $L[[\mathbf{u} - 1]]$, where $\mathbf{k} - 2$ and $\mathbf{u} - 1$ are uniformisers at the centres 2 and 1 of $U_{\mathbf{f}}$ and U_{ξ} respectively.

We say that a non-zero element F of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}g\mathbf{h}}$ has order of vanishing $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ at $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$ if it belongs to $\mathcal{I}^r - \mathcal{I}^{r+1}$, and denote by F^* its leading term in the Taylor expansion at w_o , namely its image in the quotient $\mathcal{I}^r / \mathcal{I}^{r+1}$.

Conjecture 1.1. — *The Garrett–Hida p -adic L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ has order of vanishing $r^\dagger(A, \varrho)$ at $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$, and the following equality holds in the quotient of $\mathcal{S}^{r^\dagger(A, \varrho)} / \mathcal{S}^{r^\dagger(A, \varrho)+1}$ by the multiplicative action of $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*2}$.*

$$L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)^* = R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$$

In particular, the Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ is non-degenerate.

Remarks 1.2. —

1. Under the current assumptions, the module $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$ is non-zero precisely if

$$\alpha_f = \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_f = \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h,$$

in which case $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} \mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho) = 2$ and one says that (A, ϱ) is *exceptional at p* . Since by assumption g is p -regular, only one of the displayed equalities can be satisfied. Moreover, as α_ξ and β_ξ are roots of unity for $\xi = g, h$, if (A, ϱ) is exceptional at p , then $\alpha_f^2 = 1$ and either $\alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h = \alpha_f = \beta_g \cdot \beta_h$ or $\alpha_g \cdot \beta_h = \alpha_f = \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h$ by the self-duality assumption (1).

2. The value of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w_0 = (2, 1, 1)$ is a non-zero complex multiple of

$$\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\beta_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \beta_h}{\alpha_f}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\beta_g \beta_h}{\alpha_f}\right)^2 \cdot L(A, \varrho, 1).$$

It follows that (A, ϱ) is exceptional at p precisely if $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ has an exceptional zero in the sense of [MTT86], viz. one of the Euler factors which appear in the previous expression is equal to zero. In this case $r^\dagger(A, \varrho) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A(K_\varrho)^e + 2$, hence Conjecture 1.1 and the classical Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture predict that the order of vanishing of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at w_o equals $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, \varrho, s) + 2$.

3. Since $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ is skew-symmetric, the regulator $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ vanishes if $r^\dagger(A, \varrho)$ is odd. On the other hand, the assumption (2) implies that the order of vanishing of $L(A, \varrho, s)$ at $s = 1$ is even, hence $r^\dagger(A, \varrho)$ should also be even by the classical Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture (and the first remark).
4. If $L(A, \varrho, s)$ does not vanish at $s = 1$ and (A, ϱ) is not exceptional at p , then $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)(w_o)$ is the square of a non-zero element of $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$. In this case Conjecture 1.1 is a consequence of the classical Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture.
5. Assume that (A, ϱ) is exceptional at p . The article [BSV21b] proves Conjecture 1.1 when $L(A, \varrho, s)$ does not vanish at $s = 1$. It also shows the equality

$$\langle\langle q, q' \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha} = (\mathfrak{L}_f^{\text{an}} - \mathfrak{L}_g^{\text{an}}) \cdot (l - 1) + \varepsilon \cdot (\mathfrak{L}_f^{\text{an}} - \mathfrak{L}_h^{\text{an}}) \cdot (m - 1)$$

in $(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}^2)/\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$ (cf. Equation (9)), where (q, q') is a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$ and $\varepsilon = +1$ if $\alpha_f = \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h$ while $\varepsilon = -1$ if $\alpha_f = \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h$. (Recall that $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ is skew-symmetric, and that by assumption either $\mathfrak{L}_f^{\text{an}} \neq \mathfrak{L}_g^{\text{an}}$ or $\mathfrak{L}_f^{\text{an}} \neq \mathfrak{L}_h^{\text{an}}$, hence $\langle\langle q, q' \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ is a non-zero square root of $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$.)

6. Assume that (A, ϱ) is exceptional and that $L(A, \varrho, s)$ vanishes at $s = 1$. Let (q, q') be a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$. Conjecture 1.1 predicts the equality

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)}{\partial \mathbf{k}^2}(w_o) = \log_q(P) \cdot \log_{q'}(Q) - \log_{q'}(P) \cdot \log_q(Q)$$

in $L/\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$ for two rational points P and Q in $A(K_\varrho)^e$, where $\log_q(\cdot)$ is the evaluation at q of the Bloch–Kato p -adic logarithm for $q = q, q'$. The reader is referred to Section 2.2 of [BSV21b] for details.

1.1. Generalisations. —

1.1.1. The semi-stable case. — Assume that A has semi-stable reduction at p , and let α_f be a non-zero root of the p -th Hecke polynomial $h_{f,p} = X^2 - a_p(f) \cdot X + 1_{N_f}(p) \cdot p$ of f . If A has good ordinary reduction at p and α_f is the root of $h_{f,p}$ with positive p -adic valuation, assume in addition that A does not have complex multiplication. Under these assumptions, there exists a unique Coleman family (of slope $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_f)$) which specialises to $f_\alpha = f(q) - \beta_f \cdot f(q^p)$ in weight 2, where $\beta_f \cdot \alpha_f = 1_{N_f}(p) \cdot p$. By combining the results of [Hsi20] and [AI20], one should be able to associate to the triple $(\mathbf{f}_\alpha, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ a canonical p -adic L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(f_\alpha, \varrho) = \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbf{f}_\alpha, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^2$ (generalising the construction of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) = L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(f_\alpha, \varrho)$ when A is p -ordinary and α_f is the unit root of $h_{f,p}$). On the algebraic side of the matter, (while not necessarily ordinary) the Galois representation $V(\mathbf{f}_\alpha)$ associated with \mathbf{f}_α is trianguline at p . In light of the extension of Nekovář’s theory to families of trianguline representations obtained in [Pot13], the construction of $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}_\alpha, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ given in Section 2 below when A is p -ordinary and α_f is the unit root of $h_{f,p}$, easily generalises to the present setting. Conjecture 1.1 should then extend to the semi-stable setting.

1.1.2. The reducible case. — The formalism leading to the definition of the p -adic regulator $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ extends to the case in which one or both the Artin representations ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 is reducible and p -irregular, i.e. of the form $\chi \oplus \chi'$ for Dirichlet characters satisfying $\chi(p) = \chi'(p)$. Let $\xi = g$ or h be the associated weight-one Eisenstein series $\text{Eis}_1(\chi, \chi')$. According to the main result of [BDP19] there exists a unique cuspidal Hida family ξ_α specialising in weight one to the (unique) p -stabilisation ξ_α of ξ . The construction of $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ given in Section 2 carries over to this setting, if $V(\xi_\alpha)$ is replaced by its parabolic counterpart. This guarantees the freeness of $V(\xi_\alpha)$ and of its maximal p -unramified quotient. Note that the p -regular reducible cases would involve the Hida–Rankin p -adic L -functions associated to \mathbf{f} and one or two families of Eisenstein series.

1.1.3. The higher-weight case. — One can formulate a higher-weight analogue of Conjecture 1.1, in which the weight-2 newform associated with A is replaced by a newform

$$f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f) \cdot q^n \in S_k(N_f)_L$$

of even weight $k \geq 2$ and trivial character. Assume for simplicity that p does not divide the conductor N_f of f , and that $a_p(f)$ is a p -adic unit (under the embedding $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ fixed at the outset). Let $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_\alpha$ be the unique Hida family specialising to the ordinary p -stabilisation f_α of f at weight k . The article [Hsi20] associates to $(\mathbf{f}_\alpha, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ a p -adic L -function $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(f_\alpha, \varrho) = \mathcal{L}_p^f(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^2$. Let \mathcal{E}^{k-2} be the $(k-2)$ -fold fibre product of the universal generalised elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X_1(N_f)$

over the modular curve $X_1(N_f)$ of level $\Gamma_1(N_f)$ over \mathbf{Q} . The self-dual twist V_f of the Deligne representation of f is a direct summand of $H_{\text{ét}}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}^{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}, L(k/2))$, hence the p -adic Abel–Jacobi map yields a morphism (cf. [NN16])

$$r_{\text{ét}} : (\text{CH}^{k/2}(\mathcal{E}^{k-2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} K_{\varrho})_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V_{\varrho})^{\text{Gal}(K_{\varrho}/\mathbf{Q})} \longrightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_{\varrho}),$$

where $\text{CH}^i(\cdot)_0$ is the Chow group of homologically trivial codimension i cycles in \cdot with \mathbf{Q} -coefficients, and $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, \cdot)$ is the Bloch–Kato Selmer group of \cdot over \mathbf{Q} . Define $A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho}$ to be the image of the Abel–Jacobi map $r_{\text{ét}}$:

$$A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho} = \text{Image}(r_{\text{ét}}).$$

The constructions of Section 2 below readily generalise to give a pairing

$$\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}} : A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_k / \mathcal{I}_k^2,$$

where \mathcal{I}_k is the ideal of functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}g\mathbf{h}}$ which vanish at $(k, 1, 1)$. The pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}}$ is skew-symmetric, and canonical up to the choice of the isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h fixed in (4). The Bloch–Kato conjecture predicts that $r_{\text{ét}}$ is injective, and that the dimension $r(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)$ of $A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho}$ over $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ is finite. Generalising Conjecture 1.1, we expect that $L_p^{\alpha}(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_k^{r(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)} - \mathcal{I}_k^{r(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)+1}$, and that its image in $(\mathcal{I}^{r(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)} / \mathcal{I}^{r(f_{\alpha}, \varrho)+1}) / \mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*2}$ is equal to the discriminant of $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}}$, computed with respect to any $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of $A_f(K_{\varrho})^{\varrho}$.

2. Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height pairings

Notation. In this section we set $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{f}, g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha})$. We denote by G_{Np} the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of \mathbf{Q} which is unramified at all the rational primes not dividing Np .

2.1. Galois representations (cf. [BSV20]). — Let ξ be one of \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{h} , and let $V(\xi)$ be the Galois representation introduced in [BSV20, Section 5]. Under the current assumptions it is a free \mathcal{O}_{ξ} -module of rank two, equipped with a linear action of G_{Np} . (Recall that \mathcal{O}_{ξ} denotes the ring of bounded functions on U_{ξ} , cf. Section 1.) For each classical point u in $U_{\xi} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$, there is a natural specialisation isomorphism

$$\rho_u : V(\xi) \otimes_u L \simeq V(\xi_u)$$

between the base change of $V(\xi)$ along evaluation at u on \mathcal{O}_{ξ} and the homological Deligne representation $V(\xi_u)$ of ξ_u . (We refer to Equation (106) of loc. cit. for more details.) Moreover, if $\xi = \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$, the base change of $V(\xi)$ along evaluation at 1 on U_{ξ} yields a canonical model of the (homological) Deligne–Serre representation associated with the weight-one cuspidal eigenform ξ_1 . In this case we set (cf. Section 1) $V(\xi) = V(\xi_1) = V(\xi) \otimes_1 L$ and denote by $\rho_1 : V(\xi) \otimes_1 L \simeq V(\xi_1)$ the identity.

The representation $V(\mathbf{f}_2)$ is the f -isotypic component of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_1(N_f, p), L(1))$ and the modular parametrisation $\varphi_{\infty} : X_1(N_f, p) \longrightarrow A$ fixed in Section 1 induces an isomorphism $\varphi_{\infty*} : V(\mathbf{f}_2) \simeq V(f)$. With a slight abuse of notation we write again

$$(10) \quad \rho_2 : V(\mathbf{f}) \otimes_2 L \simeq V(f)$$

for the composition of \wp_{∞^*} with the specialisation isomorphism ρ_2 .

The restriction of $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ to $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ is nearly-ordinary: let $\chi_{\text{cyc}}^{u-1} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^*$ be the character whose composition with evaluation at u in $U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cap \mathbf{Z}$ is the $(u-1)$ -th power of the p -adic cyclotomic character $\chi_{\text{cyc}} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$, and let $\check{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}) : G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^*$ be the unramified character sending an arithmetic Frobenius to the p -th Fourier coefficient $a_p(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Then there exists a natural short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^+ \xrightarrow{i^+} V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \xrightarrow{p^-} V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^- \longrightarrow 0$$

with

$$(11) \quad V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^+ \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\chi_{\text{cyc}}^{u-1} \cdot \chi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cdot \check{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^- \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\check{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi})).$$

According to Equations (103) and (114) of [BSV20], there exists a natural skew-symmetric $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -equivariant perfect pairing

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} : V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{u-1}).$$

For each u in $U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$, the base change of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ along evaluation at u and the specialisation isomorphism ρ_u yield a perfect pairing $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_u} : V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_u) \otimes_E V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_u) \rightarrow L(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + u - 1)$. If $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{f}$ and $u = 2$, then $\pi_{\mathbf{f}_2}$ is equal, up to sign, to the pairing arising from the Poincaré duality $H^1(X_1(N_f, p), \mathbf{Q}_p(1))^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p(1)$ (cf. loco citato), hence its composition

$$\pi_f : V(\mathbf{f}) \otimes_L V(\mathbf{f}) \longrightarrow L(1)$$

with the inverse of $\wp_{\infty^*}^{\otimes 2}$ is a rational multiple of the Weil pairing. If $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ equals either \mathbf{g} or \mathbf{h} , then the base change of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ along evaluation at $u = 1$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ yields the perfect pairing $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} : V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \otimes_L V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \rightarrow L(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})$ introduced in Equation (3).

As in Section 1, set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{g}} \hat{\otimes}_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}}$ and define

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) = V(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V(\mathbf{g}) \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}} \Xi_{\mathbf{fgh}},$$

where $\Xi_{\mathbf{fgh}} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}^*$ is the character satisfying

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{fgh}}(g)(w) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)^{(4-k-l-m)/2}$$

for each g in $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $w = (k, l, m)$ in $U_{\mathbf{f}} \times U_{\mathbf{g}} \times U_{\mathbf{h}} \cap \mathbf{Z}^3$. The $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -representation $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ -module of rank eight. Moreover $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ is Kummer self-dual: because $\chi_g = \chi_h^{-1}$ (cf. Equation (1)), the product of the perfect pairings $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ (for $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$) define a $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -equivariant and skew-symmetric perfect pairing

$$(12) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{fgh}} : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}} V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}(1).$$

Set $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$. Then the specialisation map (10) induces an isomorphism

$$(13) \quad \rho_{w_o} : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_{w_o} L \simeq V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$$

between the base change of $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ along evaluation at w_o on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ and

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) = V(\mathbf{f}) \otimes_L V(\mathbf{g}) \otimes_L V(\mathbf{h}).$$

The pairing $\pi_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ and ρ_{w_o} yield a $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -equivariant, skew-symmetric and perfect duality

$$\pi_{\mathbf{fgh}} : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_L V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow L(1),$$

which by construction equals the product of the dualities π_f , π_g and π_h .

2.2. Selmer complexes (cf. [Nek06]). — For $\xi = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$, denote by Λ_ξ the ring of analytic functions on U_ξ bounded by 1, and set $\Lambda_{\mathbf{fgh}} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{f}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda_{\mathbf{g}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \Lambda_{\mathbf{h}}$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{fgh}}[1/p]$. The \mathcal{O}_L -algebra $\Lambda_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ is isomorphic to a three-variable power series ring with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_L . In particular it is a regular local complete Noetherian ring with finite residue field. Let G denote either G_{Np} or $G_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$, for a rational prime ℓ dividing Np , and let (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}) denote one of the pairs $(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathbf{V}(f, g, h))$ and $(\Lambda_{\mathbf{fgh}}, \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$, where $\mathbf{V}(f, g, h)$ (resp., $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$) is an \mathcal{O}_L -lattice (resp., a $\Lambda_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ -lattice) in $V(f, g, h)$ (resp., $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$) preserved by the action of G_{Np} . Equip G with the profinite topology and \mathbf{M} with the $m_{\mathbf{B}}$ -adic topology, where $m_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the maximal ideal of \mathbf{B} . Set $(B, M) = (\mathbf{B}[1/p], \mathbf{M}[1/p])$ and

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G, M) = \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G, \mathbf{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}} B,$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G, \mathbf{M})$ is the complex of non-homogeneous continuous cochains of G with values in \mathbf{M} . If $G = G_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$, we also write $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M)$ as a shorthand for $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}, M)$.

Recall the $\mathcal{O}_f[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -submodule $V(\mathbf{f})^+$ of $V(\mathbf{f})$ introduced in Section 2.1 and set

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^+ = V(\mathbf{f})^+ \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V(\mathbf{g}) \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}} \Xi_{\mathbf{fgh}}.$$

Define $V(f)^+$ to be the image of $V(\mathbf{f})^+ \otimes_2 L$ under the specialisation isomorphism $\rho_2 : V(\mathbf{f}) \otimes_2 L \simeq V(f)$ (cf. Equation (10)), and set

$$V(f, g, h)^+ = V(f)^+ \otimes_L V(g) \otimes_L V(h).$$

Denote by $i^+ : M^+ \hookrightarrow M$ the natural inclusion, fix a $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -stable \mathbf{B} -lattice \mathbf{M}^+ mapping into \mathbf{M} under i^+ , and define $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, M^+) = \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+)$ to be the base change to B of the complex $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathbf{M}^+)$ of continuous non-homogeneous cochains of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ with values in \mathbf{M}^+ . The inclusion i^+ induces a morphism of complexes

$$i^+ : \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, M),$$

which we call the f -Greenberg local condition on the $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -representation M .

The f -Nekovář Selmer complex

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, M)$$

of the G_{Np} -representation M is the complex of B -modules

$$\text{Cone} \left(\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G_{Np}, M) \oplus \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{Np} \bar{i}^+} \bigoplus_{\ell | Np} \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M) \right) [-1],$$

where $\text{res}_{Np} = \bigoplus_{\ell | Np} \text{res}_\ell$ is the direct sum over the primes dividing Np of the restriction morphisms $\text{res}_\ell : \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G_{Np}, M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M)$ associated with fixed embeddings $i_\ell : \bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ (with i_p the embedding fixed at the outset.) Denote by

$$\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, M) \in D_{\text{ft}}^b(B)$$

the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, M)$ in the derived category $D_{\text{ft}}^b(B)$ of bounded complexes of B -modules with cohomology of finite type over B and by

$$\tilde{H}_f(\mathbf{Q}, M) = H(\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, M))$$

its cohomology. (The complex $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, M)$ is indeed a perfect complex of perfect amplitude contained in $[0, 3]$, cf. [Nek06].) Similarly denote by

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G_{Np}, M), \quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+)$$

the images in $D_{\text{ft}}^b(B)$ of $C_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(G_{Np}, M)$, $C_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M)$ and $C_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+)$, and by

$$H^\cdot(G_{Np}, M), \quad H^\cdot(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, M) \quad \text{and} \quad H^\cdot(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^+)$$

their cohomology.

The specialisation isomorphism (13) induces isomorphisms in $D_{\text{ft}}^b(L)$:

$$(14) \quad \rho_{w_o} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{h}, w_o}}^L L \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G, V(f, g, h))$$

and

$$\rho_{w_o} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{h}, w_o}}^L L \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+),$$

which in turn induce on f -Selmer complexes an isomorphism

$$(15) \quad \rho_{w_o} : \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{h}, w_o}}^L L \simeq \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)).$$

(This follows easily by the fact the kernel of evaluation at w_o on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{h}}$ is generated by an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{h}}$ -regular sequence.)

The local Tate duality implies that for each prime ℓ dividing N the complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, V(f, g, h))$ is isomorphic to zero, hence so is $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$ by Equation (14). It then follows from the definition of the Selmer complex $\check{C}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, M)$ that one has a distinguished triangle in $D_{\text{ft}}^b(R)$:

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G_{Np}, M) \xrightarrow{p^- \text{ores}_p} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^-),$$

where M^- is the quotient of M by M^+ and p^- is the map induced on complexes by the the projection $p^- : M \longrightarrow M^-$.

2.3. The extended Selmer group. — The exact triangle (16) gives rise to a long exact cohomology sequence

$$(17) \quad \tilde{H}_f^i(\mathbf{Q}, M) \longrightarrow H^i(G_{Np}, M) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathbf{Q}_p, M^-) \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{H}_f^{i+1}(\mathbf{Q}, M).$$

As easily checked

$$\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) = \ker \left(H^1(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h)) \xrightarrow{p^- \text{ores}_p} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-) \right),$$

hence one can extract from the previous sequence the short exact sequence

$$(18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The projection in the previous equation has a natural splitting

$$(19) \quad \iota_{\text{ur}} : \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)),$$

characterised by the following property. Denote by

$$(20) \quad \cdot^+ : \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+)$$

the morphism induced by the natural map of complexes (i.e. projection)

$$\check{C}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow C_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+).$$

Then for any Selmer class \mathfrak{r} in $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ one has

$$\iota_{\text{ur}}(\mathfrak{r})^+ \in H_{\text{fin}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+).$$

We often identify the Bloch–Kato Selmer group $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ with a subgroup of the Nekovář extended Selmer group $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ via the splitting ι_{nr} . In other words, we use the splitting ι_{nr} to identify the Nekovář extended Selmer group $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ with the naive extended Selmer group $\text{Sel}^\dagger(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ introduced in Equation (7):

$$(21) \quad \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) = \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \oplus H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-).$$

The Kummer map and the Shapiro isomorphism yield an injective morphism

$$(A(K_\varrho) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} V_\varrho)^{\text{Gal}(K_\varrho/\mathbf{Q})} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} V_\varrho).$$

Together with the isomorphism of $L[G_{\mathbf{Q}}]$ -modules

$$\gamma_g \otimes \gamma_h : V_\varrho \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \simeq V(g) \otimes_L V(h)$$

(cf. Equation (4)), it entails an injective morphism of L -vector spaces

$$(22) \quad \gamma_{gh} : A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^e \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)),$$

which is an isomorphism precisely if the p -part of the ϱ -isotypic component of the Shafarevich–Tate group of A over K_ϱ is finite.

2.4. Generalised Poitou–Tate duality (cf. [Nek06]). — Section 6.3 of [Nek06] (see also Proposition 1.3.2) associates to the Kummer duality

$$\pi_{fgh} : V(f, g, h) \otimes_L V(f, g, h) \longrightarrow L(1)$$

(satisfying $\pi_{fgh}(V(f, g, h)^+ \otimes_L V(f, g, h)^+) = 0$) a global cup-product pairing

$$\cup_{\text{Nek}} = \cup_{\text{Nek}} : \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_L^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_\emptyset(\mathbf{Q}, L(1)),$$

where $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_\emptyset(\mathbf{Q}, L(1))$ denotes the complex

$$\text{Cone} \left(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G_{Np}, L(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{Np}} \bigoplus_{\ell|Np} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, L(1)) \right) [-1].$$

Let $\tilde{H}_\emptyset^3(\mathbf{Q}, L(1))$ be the cohomology of $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_\emptyset(\mathbf{Q}, L(1))$. The fundamental exact sequence of global class field theory yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Tr}_L : \tilde{H}_\emptyset^3(\mathbf{Q}, L(1)) \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell|Np} H^2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, L(1)) / \text{res}_{Np}(H^2(G_{Np}, L(1))) \simeq L,$$

arising from the sum of the invariant maps $\text{inv}_\ell : H^2(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, L(1)) \simeq L$ of local class field theory, for ℓ dividing Np (cf. Equation (5.3.1.3.2) of [Nek06]). Define

$$(23) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Nek}} : \tilde{H}_f^2(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_L \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_\emptyset^3(\mathbf{Q}, L(1)) \simeq L.$$

to be the composition of the map $H^{2,1}(\cup_{\text{Nek}})$ induced on $(2,1)$ -cohomology by Nekovář’s global cup-product \cup_{Nek} with the trace isomorphism Tr_L .

2.5. The p -adic height pairing. — To lighten the notation, we abbreviate $V(f, g, h)$, $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$, $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{Q}, \cdot)$ with $V, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\cdot)$ and $\tilde{H}_f(\cdot)$ respectively.

Applying $\mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}}^L \cdot$ to the exact triangle

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{fgh}/\mathcal{I}^2 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2[1]$$

arising from evaluation at w_o on \mathcal{O}_{fgh} , yields a morphism in $D_{\text{ft}}^b(\mathcal{O}_{fgh})$:

$$(25) \quad \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh, w_o}}^L L \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}}^L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2[1].$$

The specialisation map ρ_{w_o} gives rise to isomorphisms (cf. Equation (15))

$$\rho_{w_o} : \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh, w_o}}^L L \simeq \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(V)$$

and

$$\rho_{w_o} \otimes \text{id} : \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}}^L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \simeq \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(V) \otimes_L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2,$$

which together with (25) induce a *derived Bockstein map*

$$\tilde{\beta}_{fgh} : \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\tilde{\Gamma}_f(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))[1] \otimes_L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2.$$

The *Garrett–Nekovář canonical p -adic height pairing*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh} : \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_L \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$$

is the composition of the Nekovář cup-product pairing (cf. Equation (23))

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Nek}} \otimes \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 : \tilde{H}_f^2(V) \otimes_L \tilde{H}_f^1(V) \otimes_L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$$

with the morphism

$$\tilde{\beta}_{fgh} \otimes \text{id} : \tilde{H}_f^1(V) \otimes_L \tilde{H}_f^1(V) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_f^2(V) \otimes_L \tilde{H}_f^1(V) \otimes_L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2,$$

where the *Bockstein map*

$$(26) \quad \tilde{\beta}_{fgh} : \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_f^2(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) \otimes_L \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$$

is the map $H^1(\tilde{\beta}_{fgh})$ induced on the first cohomology groups by $\tilde{\beta}_{fgh}$.

Proposition 2.1. — *The p -adic height $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh}$ is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. — As explained in Section 2.1, the Kummer self-duality π_{fgh} on $V(f, g, h)$ lifts (under ρ_{w_o}) to a skew-symmetric, $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -equivariant perfect pairing

$$\pi_{fgh} : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}} V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{fgh}(1),$$

under which the $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -submodule $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^+$ of $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})$ is its own orthogonal complement. The proposition then follows from the results of [Ven13, Appendix C]. \square

The p -adic height pairing (cf. Equation (5))

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh, h_\alpha} : A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$$

which appears in Conjecture 1.1 is defined to be restriction of the canonical height pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh, h_\alpha} : \tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ to the p -extended Mordell–Weil group $A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ along the injective morphism γ_{gh} introduced in Equation (22).

3. Diagonal classes and rational points

As proved in [BSV20, Theorem A] and [DR20, Theorem 5.1], the square root p -adic L -function $\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ is the image of a *big diagonal class* $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ under an appropriate branch of the Perrin-Riou big logarithm. The leading term of $L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ at $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$ is then intimately connected to the derivatives of the class $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ at w_o . This section exploits this connection and its relation with Conjecture 1.1.

To simplify the exposition, we assume in this section that

$$(27) \quad \alpha_f \neq \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_f \neq \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h.$$

This condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the module of p -adic periods $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$ of (A, ϱ) (or equivalently of the module $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-)$), and is satisfied when A has good (ordinary) reduction at p (cf. Remark 1.2.1). In particular, in this section, the Nekovář extended Selmer group and the Bloch–Kato Selmer group of $V(f, g, h)$ over \mathbf{Q} are equal to each other (cf. Equation (21)):

$$\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)) = \text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h)).$$

3.1. Differentials and logarithms. — Let ξ denote one of \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g}_α or \mathbf{h}_α , and recall the short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_ξ -modules $V(\xi)^+ \hookrightarrow V(\xi) \twoheadrightarrow V(\xi)^-$ (cf. Section 2.1).

If $\xi = \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha$ define

$$V(\xi)_\alpha = V(\xi)^- \otimes_1 L \quad \text{and} \quad V(\xi)_\beta = V(\xi)^+ \otimes_1 L.$$

Equation (11) implies that $V(\xi)_\alpha = V(\xi)^{\text{Frob}_p = \alpha\xi}$ and $V(\xi)_\beta = V(\xi)^{\text{Frob}_p = \beta\xi}$ are the subspaces of $V(\xi)$ on which an arithmetic Frobenius Frob_p in $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ acts as multiplication by α_ξ and β_ξ respectively. In particular one has the decomposition

$$V(\xi) = V(\xi)_\alpha \oplus V(\xi)_\beta$$

of $L[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -modules. (Recall that by assumption the roots α_ξ and $\beta_\xi = \chi_\xi(p) \cdot \alpha_\xi^{-1}$ of the p -th Hecke polynomial of ξ are distinct, cf. Section 1.)

Set $D(\xi)^- = H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\xi)^- \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}})$, where $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_p^{\text{nr}}$ is the p -adic completion of the maximal unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p (equipped with its natural $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -action). As explained in [BSV20, Section 5], the \mathcal{O}_ξ -module $D(\xi)^-$ is free of rank one, and its base change $D(\xi)_u^- = D(\xi)^- \otimes_u L$ along evaluation at a classical weight u in $U_\xi \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ on \mathcal{O}_ξ is canonically isomorphic to the ξ_u -isotypic component $L \cdot \xi_u$ of $S_u(pN_\xi, \chi_\xi)_L$. Moreover, there exists an \mathcal{O}_ξ -basis

$$\omega_\xi \in D(\xi)^-$$

whose image ω_{ξ_u} in $D(\xi)_u^-$ corresponds to ξ_u under the aforementioned isomorphism for each classical weight u in $U_\xi \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ (cf. Equations (117)–(119) of [BSV20]).

Remark 3.1. — We caution the reader that the notation used here differ from that of [BSV20]. Precisely, Section 5 of loc. cit. introduces a differential $\omega_\xi = \omega_\xi^{\text{BSV}}$ in a suitable dual $D^*(\xi)^-$ of $D(\xi)^-$. Here we denote by ω_ξ the image of ω_ξ^{BSV} under the isomorphism $w_{\bar{N}_p} : D^*(\xi)^- \simeq D(\xi)^-$ induced by the Atkin–Lehner isomorphism $w_{\bar{N}_p} : V^*(\xi)^-(1+\kappa_{U_f}) \simeq V(\xi)^-$ defined in [BSV20, Equation (114)]. Accordingly the

canonical isomorphism $D(\boldsymbol{\xi})_u^- \simeq L \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_u$ mentioned above arises from the specialisation isomorphism $D^*(\boldsymbol{\xi})^- \otimes_u L \simeq \text{Fil}^1 V_{\text{dR}}^*(\boldsymbol{\xi}_u)$ defined in [BSV20, Equation (116)] and the Atkin–Lehner operator (cf. Equation (29) of loc. cit.).

If $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is either \mathbf{g}_α or \mathbf{h}_α , the weight-one specialisation of ω_ξ yields canonical elements

$$\omega_{\xi_\alpha} \in D(\boldsymbol{\xi})_1^- = D_{\text{cris}}(V(\xi)_\alpha).$$

In this case, let η_{ξ_α} in $D_{\text{cris}}(V(\xi)_\beta)$ be the class satisfying

$$\langle \eta_{\xi_\alpha}, \omega_{\xi_\alpha} \rangle_\xi = 1,$$

where

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\xi : D_{\text{cris}}(V(\xi)_\alpha) \otimes_L D_{\text{cris}}(V(\xi)_\beta) \longrightarrow D_{\text{cris}}(L(\chi_\xi)) \simeq L$$

is the perfect pairing induced by the duality π_ξ introduced in Equation (3). (The crystalline module $D_{\text{cris}}(\chi_\xi) = H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, L(\chi_\xi) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}})$ of the one-dimensional representation $L(\chi_\xi)$ is generated over L by the Gauß sum

$$G(\chi_\xi) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/c(\chi_\xi)\mathbf{Z})^*} \chi_\xi(a) \otimes e^{2\pi ia/c(\chi_\xi)}$$

in $L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{N_\xi})$ of the primitive character $\chi_\xi : (\mathbf{Z}/c(\chi_\xi)\mathbf{Z})^* \longrightarrow L^*$ associated with χ_ξ . Since by assumption L contains $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{N_\xi})$, here we identify $G(\chi_\xi)$ with the element $\sum_a \chi_\xi(a) \cdot e^{2\pi ia/c(\chi_\xi)}$ of L , hence $D_{\text{cris}}(\chi_\xi)$ with L .)

Identify $V(f) = \text{Ta}_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} L$ with the f -isotypic component of the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_1(N_f, p)_{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} L$ under the modular parametrisation \wp_∞ fixed in Section 1. The modular form f in $\text{Fil}^0 H_{\text{dR}}^1(X_1(N_f, p)_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathbf{Q}_p(1))$ then defines (via the comparison isomorphism between étale and de Rham cohomology) a class

$$\omega_f \in \text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(V(f))$$

(where $D_{\text{dR}}(\cdot) = H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, \cdot \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}})$ is Fontaine’s de Rham functor). Define η_f in $D_{\text{dR}}(V(f))/\text{Fil}^0$ to be the de Rham class satisfying

$$\langle \eta_f, \omega_f \rangle_f = 1,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f : D_{\text{dR}}(V(f)) \otimes_L D_{\text{dR}}(V(f)) \longrightarrow L$ is the perfect pairing induced on the de Rham modules by the Weil pairing on $V(f)$.

Set $V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h) = D_{\text{dR}}(V(f, g, h))$. The Bloch–Kato exponential map gives an isomorphism between $V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)/\text{Fil}^0$ and the finite subspace $H_{\text{fin}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h))$ of $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h))$ (cf. Lemma [BSV20, 9.1]). Denote by

$$\log_p : H_{\text{fin}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)/\text{Fil}^0$$

the inverse of the Bloch–Kato exponential. Under the self-duality assumption (1), the product of the pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\xi$, for $\xi = f, g, h$, yields a perfect duality

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh} : V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h) \otimes_L V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h) \longrightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} L = L.$$

(Here one identifies $V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)$ with the tensor product of $D_{\text{dR}}(V(f))$, $D_{\text{cris}}(V(g))$ and $D_{\text{cris}}(V(h))$ under the natural isomorphism.) Define the $\alpha\alpha$ -logarithm

$$\log_{\alpha\alpha} = \langle \log_p(\cdot), \omega_f \otimes \eta_{g_\alpha} \otimes \eta_{h_\alpha} \rangle_{fgh} : H_{\text{fin}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)) \longrightarrow L.$$

to be the composition of the Bloch–Kato p -adic logarithm with evaluation on the class $\omega_f \otimes \eta_{g_\alpha} \otimes \eta_{h_\alpha}$ in $\text{Fil}^0 V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)$ under the duality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{fgh}$. If κ is a global Selmer class in $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, we often write $\log_{\alpha_\alpha}(\kappa)$ as a shorthand for $\log_{\alpha_\alpha}(\text{res}_p(\kappa))$.

3.2. Diagonal classes. — Following [BSV20, Section 7.2] define (cf. Section 2.1)

$$\mathcal{F}^2 V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \left[\sum_{p+q+r=2} \mathcal{F}^p V(\mathbf{f}) \hat{\otimes}_L \mathcal{F}^q V(\mathbf{g}_\alpha) \hat{\otimes}_L \mathcal{F}^r V(\mathbf{h}_\alpha) \right] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}} \Xi_{fgh},$$

where for $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha$ one sets $\mathcal{F}^i V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ for $i \leq 0$, $\mathcal{F}^1 V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = V(\boldsymbol{\xi})^+$ and $\mathcal{F}^j V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. It is an $\mathcal{O}_{fgh}[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -submodule of $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$, free of rank four over \mathcal{O}_{fgh} . We call the image of the injective natural map

$$H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}^2 V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$$

the *balanced local condition*, and denote it by $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$. The *balanced Selmer group* $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ is the module of global cohomology classes in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ which are unramified at every prime $\ell \neq p$ and whose restriction at p belongs to the balanced local condition. For each classical triple $w = (k, l, m)$ in $U_f \times U_g \times U_h \cap \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}^3$, one defines similarly the balanced local condition $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V_w)$, where $V_w = V(\mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{g}_{\alpha, l}, \mathbf{h}_{\alpha, m})$ is the self-dual Tate twist of the tensor product of the homological Deligne representations $V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_u)$ of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_u = \mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{g}_{\alpha, l}, \mathbf{h}_{\alpha, m}$. If w is balanced (id est $k < l + m$, $l < k + m$ and $m < k + l$), then $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V_w)$ equals the Bloch–Kato finite subspace of $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V_w)$ (cf. [BSV20, Lemma 7.2]). The work of Perrin-Riou et alii yields a big logarithm map

$$\mathcal{L}_f : H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{fgh},$$

satisfying the following interpolation property. Let \mathfrak{z} be a local balanced class in $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$, and let $w = (k, l, m)$ be a balanced classical triple. Denote by \mathfrak{z}_w in $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V_w)$ the image of \mathfrak{z} under the map induced in cohomology by the specialisation isomorphism $\rho_w : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) \otimes_w L \simeq V_w$ (the latter being defined as the tensor product of the specialisation isomorphisms $\rho_u : V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \otimes_u L \simeq V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_u)$, for $\boldsymbol{\xi}_u = \mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{g}_{\alpha, l}, \mathbf{h}_{\alpha, m}$, cf. Section 2.1). Set $c_w = (k + l + m - 2)/2$, $\alpha_k = a_p(\mathbf{f})(k)$, $\alpha_l = a_p(\mathbf{g}_\alpha)(l)$, $\alpha_m = a_p(\mathbf{h}_\alpha)(m)$, and define β_ξ by the identities $\alpha_k \cdot \beta_k = p^{k-1}$, $\alpha_l \cdot \beta_l = \chi_g(p) \cdot p^{l-1}$ and $\alpha_m \cdot \beta_m = \chi_h(p) \cdot p^{m-1}$. Then one has

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\mathfrak{z})(w) = \frac{(-1)^{c_w - k}}{(c_w - k)!} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\beta_k \alpha_l \alpha_m}{p^{c_w}}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k \beta_l \beta_m}{p^{c_w}}\right)} \cdot \langle \log_p(\mathfrak{z}_w), \mathcal{U}_w \rangle_w,$$

where \log_p is the Bloch–Kato logarithm map, \mathcal{U}_w in $\text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(V_w)$ denotes the differential $\eta_{\mathbf{f}_k} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha, l}} \otimes \omega_{\mathbf{h}_{\alpha, m}}$ (defined similarly as in Section 3.1), and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w : D_{\text{dR}}(V_w)/\text{Fil}^0 \otimes_L \text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(V_w) \longrightarrow L$ is the one induced by the specialisation at w_o of the perfect duality π_{fgh} (cf. Equation (12)). We refer to Proposition 7.3 of [BSV20] for a proof of the existence of \mathcal{L}_f .

Theorem A of [BSV20] constructs a canonical *big balanced diagonal class*

$$\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) \in H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$$

such that

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathrm{res}_p(\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))) = \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho).$$

One defines the (*balanced*) *diagonal class*

$$\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$$

to be the image of $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ under the map induced in cohomology by the specialisation isomorphism ρ_{w_o} defined in Equation (13). Note that w_o lies outside the balanced region, hence the class $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is not necessarily crystalline at p . Indeed, under the current assumption (27), it follows from the explicit reciprocity law (28) and Perrin-Riou's reciprocity law for big dual exponentials that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is crystalline at p (hence a Selmer class) precisely if the the complex Garrett L -function $L(A, \varrho, s) = \tilde{L}(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$ vanishes at the central point $s = 1$. (Cf. Theorem B of [BSV20], proved in the present setting in Section 9.1 of loco citato.) In this case

$$(29) \quad \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)) = 0,$$

as follows from the fact that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is by construction (the specialisation at w_o of) a balanced class (cf. the discussion following Diagram (193) of [BSV20]).

When $L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$ vanishes at $s = 1$, the following proposition relates the linear form $\langle\langle \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha), \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ on $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ and the derivative of $\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$.

Theorem 3.2. — *Assume that the complex Garrett L -function $L(A, \varrho, s)$ vanishes at $s = 1$, so that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is a Selmer class. Then*

$$\frac{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f}{p \alpha_g \alpha_h}\right)} \cdot \langle\langle \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha), \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha} = \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathrm{res}_p(\cdot)) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2}$$

as $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ -valued linear maps on the Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$.

Theorem 3.2 is proved in Section 3.4 below.

Remark 3.3. — The construction of the class $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ and the proof of the reciprocity law (28) given in [BSV20] work also when the assumption (27) is not satisfied, id est if A has multiplicative reduction at p and α_f equals either $\alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h$ or $\beta_g \cdot \alpha_h$. (Since g is p -regular by an assumption of Section 1, one has $\alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h \neq \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h$.) Assume that $\alpha_f = \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h$ and that $L(A, \varrho, s)$ vanishes at $s = 1$, so that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is crystalline at p by Theorem B of [BSV20]. Let q and q' be generators of $\mathcal{Q}_p(A, \varrho)$. For Selmer classes x and y in $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, denote by $\tilde{h}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(x \otimes y)$ the square-root of the discriminant of $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ computed on the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -submodule of $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ generated by x, y, q and q' . The article [BSV21a] proves the equality

$$\tilde{h}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) \otimes y) = \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathrm{res}_p(y)) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^3}$$

in $(\mathcal{I}^2/\mathcal{I}^3)/\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$ for each Selmer class y .

3.3. Perrin-Riou conjecture for diagonal classes. — Recall the map

$$\gamma_{gh} : A(K_\varrho)^\varrho \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} L \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$$

defined in Equation (22), arising from the Kummer map on $A(K_\varrho)$ and the isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h fixed in (4). Assume that $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ has dimension 2 over $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$. The classical Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture predicts that the Shafarevich–Tate group of A over K_ϱ is finite, hence that γ_{gh} is an isomorphism. In this case, if (P, Q) is a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$, one has $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) = a \cdot \gamma_{gh}(P) + b \cdot \gamma_{gh}(Q)$ with a and b in L . After setting

$$\mathcal{E} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f}{p \alpha_g \alpha_h}\right)^{-1},$$

Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.1 yield the identities

$$\mathcal{E} \cdot a \cdot \langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha} = \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(Q)) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2}$$

and

$$-\mathcal{E} \cdot b \cdot \langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha} = \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(P)) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2}$$

Moreover, Conjecture 1.1 predicts that $\langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2}$ are non-zero, and equal up to multiplication by a non-zero algebraic scalar in $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$. To sum up, when $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A(K_\varrho)^\varrho = 2$, one expects that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is equal to $\log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(Q)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(P) - \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(P)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(Q)$ up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar in $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$. When $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A(K_\varrho)^\varrho > 2$, Conjecture 1.1 predicts that $\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$ belongs to \mathcal{I}^2 and that $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ is non-degenerate, hence that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is zero by Theorem 3.2 and the conjectural finiteness of the relevant Shafarevich–Tate group. In light of the above discussion, the following conjecture is a direct consequence of Conjecture 1.1, the conjectural finiteness of the p -primary part of the ϱ -component of the Shafarevich–Tate group of A over K_ϱ , and Theorem 3.2.

Conjecture 3.4. —

1. Assume that the $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -vector space $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ has dimension 2. Then, for each $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis (P, Q) of $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$, the equality

$$\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) = \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(Q)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(P) - \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(P)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(Q)$$

holds in the Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ up to multiplication by a non-zero element of $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$.

2. If $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ has dimension greater than 2 over $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$, then the diagonal class $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is equal to zero.

Remarks 3.5. —

1. The equality displayed in Part 1 of Conjecture 3.4 is independent of the choice of the isomorphisms γ_g and γ_h fixed in Equation (4).
2. Assume that both $r_{\mathrm{MW}} = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)} A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$ and $r_S = \dim_L \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ are equal to 2, and let (P, Q) be a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis of $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$. If $\log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}$ is not identically zero on (the image under res_p of) $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, then Equation (29) implies

$$(30) \quad \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) = \lambda \cdot (\log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(Q)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(P) - \log_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha\alpha}}(\gamma_{gh}(P)) \cdot \gamma_{gh}(Q))$$

for some constant λ in L . In this case, the actual content of Conjecture 3.4 is then the non-vanishing and rationality statement λ *belongs to* $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$.

3. Assume $r_{\text{MW}} = r_{\text{S}} = 2$ and that $\log_{\alpha\alpha}$ is not identically zero on the Selmer group $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$. Fix a $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)$ -basis (P, Q) of $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$. Equation (30), Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 and the non-triviality of $\log_{\alpha\alpha}$ give the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2} = \lambda \cdot \langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$$

in $(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2)/\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$. According to Proposition 2.1 and the current assumption (27) (which implies $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho = A^\dagger(K_\varrho)^\varrho$), the square of $\langle\langle P, Q \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ equals the regulator $R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$, hence the previous equation yields the equality

$$L_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^3} = \lambda^2 \cdot R_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho)$$

in $(\mathcal{I}^2/\mathcal{I}^3)/\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^{*2}$. As a consequence Conjecture 3.4, namely the statement λ belongs to $\mathbf{Q}(\varrho)^*$, and the non-degeneracy of $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_\alpha h_\alpha}$ on the Mordell–Weil group $A(K_\varrho)^\varrho$, is equivalent to Conjecture 1.1.

4. Since by assumption the forms g and h are p -regular (cf. Section 1), one can actually consider the four diagonal classes $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$, $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\beta)$, $\kappa(f, g_\beta, h_\alpha)$ and $\kappa(f, g_\beta, h_\beta)$ arising from the different choices of the roots of the p th Hecke polynomials of g and h . Conjecture 3.4, combined with standard conjectures, predicts that these classes generate a non-trivial submodule of $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ precisely when $r_{\text{MW}} = 2$. Assuming $r_{\text{MW}} = 2$, one has that res_p is not identically zero on $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$, hence one of the logarithms $\log_{\alpha\alpha}$, $\log_{\alpha\beta}$, $\log_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\log_{\beta\beta}$ (defined similarly as in Section 3.1) is not identically zero on $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$. Reordering the roots (α_g, β_g) and (α_h, β_h) if necessary, one can assume that $\log_{\alpha\alpha}$ is not identically zero. It follows from Conjecture 3.4 that the class $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is non-zero. Conversely, assume that $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ is non-zero. According to the parity conjecture and the conjectural finiteness of the p -primary part of the ϱ -component of the Shafarevich–Tate group of A over K_ϱ one has that $r_{\text{MW}} \geq 2$. Conjecture 3.4 implies the equality $r_{\text{MW}} = 2$.
5. Conjecture 3.4 is a reformulation of [DR16, Conjecture 3.12], which (together with Conjecture 2.1 of loc. cit.) is a refinement of the Elliptic Stark Conjecture formulated in [DLR15] (cf. Proposition 3.13 and Remark 3.14 of [DR16]). The above discussion then gives a conceptual explanation of the conjectures formulated in [DLR15, DR16] in the framework of the p -adic analogues of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.
6. Assume in this remark that (A, ϱ) is exceptional at p . When $\alpha_f = \alpha_g \cdot \alpha_h$ we expect that Conjecture 3.4 holds verbatim in light of Remark 3.3. By contrast, if $\alpha_f = \beta_g \cdot \alpha_h$, then the specialisation $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ of $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ at $w_o = (2, 1, 1)$ is equal to zero, independently on whether $L(f \otimes g \otimes h, s)$ vanishes or not at $s = 1$. In this case, we expect that Conjecture 3.4 holds after replacing $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ with the *improved diagonal class* $\kappa^*(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ defined in Section 1.2 of [BSV20] (cf. Theorem B of loco citato).

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.2. — This section proves Theorem 3.2.

Under the running assumption (27), the module $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-)$ is equal to zero and we identify the Block–Kato Selmer group $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ with Nekovář’s extended Selmer group $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$ under the isomorphism (18). Fix a 1-cocycle

$$\tilde{z} = (z, z^+, a) \in \tilde{C}_f^1(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h))$$

which represents the diagonal class $\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$ in $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(f, g, h))$. Then

$$z \in C_{\text{cont}}^1(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h)), \quad z^+ \in C_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+)$$

and

$$a = (a_v)_{v|Np} \in \bigoplus_{v|Np} V(f, g, h)$$

satisfy the relations

$$dz = 0, \quad \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) = \text{cl}(z), \quad dz^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res}_{Np}(z) = i^+(z^+) - da,$$

where d denotes the differentials of the complexes C_{cont}^\bullet and $\text{cl}(\cdot)$ denotes the cohomology class represented by \cdot . Let

$$Z \in C_{\text{cont}}^1(G_{Np}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$$

be a 1-cocycle representing $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ and specialising to z at w_o :

$$dZ = 0, \quad \kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \text{cl}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{w_o}(Z) = z$$

(cf. Equation (14)). The 1-cocycle \tilde{z} is then lifted by a 1-cochain of the form

$$\tilde{Z} = (Z, Z^+, A) \in \tilde{C}_f^1(G_{Np}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$$

under the morphism of complexes

$$\rho_{w_o} : \tilde{C}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \longrightarrow \tilde{C}_f^\bullet(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h))$$

induced by ρ_{w_o} (cf. Equation (15)), where the cochains

$$Z^+ \in C_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \quad \text{and} \quad A = (A_v)_{v|Np} \in \bigoplus_{v|Np} V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$$

are lifts of z^+ and a respectively under the map induced by ρ_{w_o} . As \tilde{z} is a 1-cocycle, the differential $d\tilde{Z}$ of \tilde{Z} in $\tilde{C}_f^2(G_{Np}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ can be written as

$$(31) \quad d\tilde{Z} = (\mathbf{k} - 2) \cdot \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{k}} + (\mathbf{l} - 1) \cdot \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{l}} + (\mathbf{m} - 1) \cdot \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{m}}$$

with 2-cochains \tilde{Z} . (for $\cdot = \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}$) in $\tilde{C}_f^2(G_{Np}, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ of the form

$$(32) \quad \tilde{Z} = (Z, Z^+, W),$$

where the 1-cochains $W = (W_{\cdot, v})_{v|Np}$ in $\bigoplus_{v|Np} C_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_v, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ satisfy

$$(33) \quad (\mathbf{k} - 2) \cdot W_{\mathbf{k}} + (\mathbf{l} - 1) \cdot W_{\mathbf{l}} + (\mathbf{m} - 1) \cdot W_{\mathbf{m}} = i^+(Z^+) - \text{res}_{Np}(Z) - dA.$$

A slight extension of [Ven16a, Lemma 5.5] (cf. [Ven16b, Appendix C]) proves that

$$\tilde{z} = \rho_{w_o}(\tilde{Z})$$

are 2-cocycles in $\tilde{C}_f^2(G_{Np}, V(f, g, h))$ and (cf. Equation (26))

$$(34) \quad -\tilde{\beta}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha}(\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)) = (\mathbf{k} - 2) \cdot \text{cl}(\tilde{z}_{\mathbf{k}}) + (\mathbf{l} - 1) \cdot \text{cl}(\tilde{z}_{\mathbf{l}}) + (\mathbf{m} - 1) \cdot \text{cl}(\tilde{z}_{\mathbf{m}}).$$

For $V = V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}), V(f, g, h)$, denote by

$$p^- : \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, V^-)$$

the morphism of complexes induced by the projection $p^- : V \longrightarrow V^-$. Define

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} X. &= p^-(W_{.,p}) \in \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^-); \\ x. &= \rho_{w_o}(X.) = p^- \circ \rho_{w_o}(W_{.,p}) \in \mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-). \end{aligned}$$

After setting $A_p^- = p^-(A_p)$, Equation (33) yields

$$(36) \quad (\mathbf{k} - 2) \cdot X_{\mathbf{k}} + (\mathbf{l} - 1) \cdot X_{\mathbf{l}} + (\mathbf{m} - 1) \cdot X_{\mathbf{m}} = -p^-(\text{res}_p(Z)) - dA_p^-.$$

As Z is a 1-cocycle, this implies that the 1-cochains $x.$ are 1-cocycles, and one sets

$$\mathfrak{x}. = \text{cl}(x.) \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-).$$

Similarly, as Z is a 1-cocycle, Equations (31) and (32) imply that $\rho_{w_o}(Z.) = 0$, hence

$$\tilde{z}. = (0, \rho_{w_o}(Z.^+), \rho_{w_o}(W.)).$$

Because $\mathbf{C}_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_v, V(f, g, h))$ is acyclic for $v \neq p$, this implies

$$(37) \quad \text{cl}(\tilde{z}.) = j(\mathfrak{x}.)$$

(cf. Equations (17) and (35)). After recalling the definition of Garrett–Nekovář p -adic height $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha}$ given in Section 2.5, Equations (34) and (37) yield

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle\langle \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha), \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha} &= \langle \tilde{\beta}_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}_\alpha\mathbf{h}_\alpha}(\kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)), \cdot \rangle_{\text{Nek}} \otimes \mathcal{I} / \mathcal{I}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \langle j(\mathfrak{x}_{\mathbf{u}}), \cdot \rangle_{\text{Nek}} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o) \\ &= - \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \langle \mathfrak{x}_{\mathbf{u}}, \cdot^+ \rangle_{\text{Tate}} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o), \end{aligned}$$

where (\mathbf{u}, u_o) denotes one of the pairs $(\mathbf{k}, 2)$, $(\mathbf{l}, 1)$ and $(\mathbf{m}, 1)$, where

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Tate}} : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^-) \otimes_L H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(f, g, h)^+) \longrightarrow L$$

is the local Tate duality induced by the perfect pairing π_{fgh} (cf. Section 2.1), and where \cdot^+ is the morphism introduced in Equation (20). The last equality in Equation (38) follows from the adjointness of the maps j and \cdot^+ with respect to the pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Nek}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Tate}}$ (cf. Lemma 5.7 of [Ven16a].)

To conclude the proof we need the following lemma. Set

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f = V(\mathbf{f})^- \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{g}_\alpha)^+ \hat{\otimes}_L V(\mathbf{h}_\alpha)^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{fgh}} \Xi_{fgh}.$$

The projection $p^- : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) \longrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^-$ maps $\mathcal{F}^2 V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$ onto $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f$, hence induces in cohomology a morphism

$$(39) \quad p_f : H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f).$$

(Recall that the natural map $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathcal{F}^2 V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ is injective, hence identifies its source with $H_{\text{bal}}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$.)

Lemma 3.6. — *There exist $\mathfrak{Y}_k, \mathfrak{Y}_l$ and \mathfrak{Y}_m in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f)$ such that*

$$p_f(\text{res}_p(\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))) = (k-2) \cdot \mathfrak{Y}_k + (l-1) \cdot \mathfrak{Y}_l + (m-1) \cdot \mathfrak{Y}_m.$$

Moreover, if the previous equation is satisfied, then for $\mathbf{u} = k, l, m$ one has

$$\mathfrak{r}_\mathbf{u} = -\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_\mathbf{u}).$$

Proof. — Set $V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^- = V(\mathbf{f}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V(\mathbf{g})_\beta \otimes_L V(\mathbf{h})_\beta$. It is an $L[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -direct summand of $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^-$, and the specialisation map ρ_{w_o} induces an isomorphism

$$\rho_{w_o} : V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f \otimes_{w_o} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}} \simeq V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^-.$$

Since the kernel of evaluation at w_o on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}}$ is generated by a regular sequence and $H^2(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^-)$ is equal to zero, the specialisation isomorphism ρ_{w_o} induces in cohomology an isomorphism (denoted by the same symbol)

$$(40) \quad \rho_{w_o} : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f) \otimes_{w_o} L \simeq H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^-).$$

As explained in Section 9.1 of [BSV20], the Bloch–Kato finite subspace of the local cohomology group $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}))$ is equal to the kernel of

$$p^- : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^-)$$

(cf. Section 9.1). Because $\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha) = \rho_{w_o}(\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))$ is a Selmer class (under the current assumption $L(A, \varrho, 1) = 0$), it follows that the local class

$$\kappa_f = p_f(\text{res}_p(\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)))$$

belongs to the kernel of (40), thus proving the first statement.

Let $\mathfrak{Y}_\mathbf{u}$ in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f)$ be local classes satisfying

$$\kappa_f = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \mathfrak{Y}_\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o).$$

We prove that $\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_\mathbf{u})$ is equal to $-\mathfrak{r}_\mathbf{u}$ for $\mathbf{u} = k$, the cases $\mathbf{u} = l, m$ being similar. Since by construction $\text{cl}(Z) = \kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)$, according to Equation (36) one has

$$(41) \quad \text{cl}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{u}} X_\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o)\right) = -\sum_{\mathbf{u}} i_f(\mathfrak{Y}_\mathbf{u}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o) \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^-),$$

where i_f denotes both the inclusion $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f \hookrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^-$ and the morphism it induces in cohomology. Let $\nu : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{fgh}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$ be the surjective morphism of rings sending the analytic function $F(\mathbf{k}, l, m)$ to $F(\mathbf{k}, 1, 1)$, and set

$$V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^- = V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^- \otimes_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_f \quad \text{and} \quad V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^- = V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f \otimes_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_f.$$

(Note that $V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^- = V(\mathbf{f})^- \otimes_L V(\mathbf{g})_\beta \otimes_L V(\mathbf{h})_\beta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_f} \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{1-k/2}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_f[G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}]$ -direct summand of $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^-$ and $i_f \otimes_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_f$ is the natural inclusion.) If one denotes by ν also the morphisms induced in cohomology (resp., on continuous cochains) by the projections $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)^- \longrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h})^-$ and $V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f \longrightarrow V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^-$, then $\nu(X_k)$ is a 1-cocycle in $C_{\text{cont}}^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f})^-)$ (cf. Equation (36)) and Equation (41) gives

$$(k-2) \cdot (\text{cl}(\nu(X_k)) + \nu(\mathfrak{Y}_k)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the $(\mathbf{k} - 2)$ -torsion of $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-)$ is a quotient of $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-)$, which is zero by assumption (viz. (A, ϱ) is not exceptional at p). Then $\nu(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{k}}) = -\text{cl}(\nu(X_{\mathbf{k}}))$, hence by construction $\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{k}}) = -\mathfrak{r}_{\mathbf{k}}$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}}$ be as in the statement of Lemma 3.6, and let \tilde{y} be an element of $\tilde{H}_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h))$. Equation (38) and Lemma 3.6 give the identity

$$(42) \quad \langle \kappa(\mathbf{f}, g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}), \tilde{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}} = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \langle \rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}}), \tilde{y}^+ \rangle_{\text{Tate}} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o).$$

If $\tilde{y} = \iota_{\text{ur}}(y)$ corresponds to the Selmer class y in $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h))$, then the image of \tilde{y}^+ under the map induced in cohomology by the inclusion $V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^+ \hookrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)$ is equal to the restriction of y at p . In this case we claim that

$$(43) \quad \langle \rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}}), \tilde{y}^+ \rangle_{\text{Tate}} = \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\text{res}_p(y)) \cdot \langle \exp_p^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})), \eta_f \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \rangle_{fgh},$$

where $\exp_p^* : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-) \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-)$ is the Bloch–Kato dual exponential. Indeed, note that the projection $p^- : V(\mathbf{f}, g, h) \rightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-$ and the inclusion $i^+ : V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^+ \hookrightarrow V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)$ induce natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Fil}^0 V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h) \simeq D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^-) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^+) \simeq V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)/\text{Fil}^0,$$

which we consider as equalities. Moreover, since by assumption (A, ϱ) is not exceptional at p , the Bloch–Kato exponential map gives an isomorphism between $D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^+)$ and $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^+)$. As $i^+(\tilde{y}^+) = \text{res}_p(y)$, it follows that

$$(44) \quad \langle \rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}}), \tilde{y}^+ \rangle_{\text{Tate}} = \langle \exp_p^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})), \log_p(\text{res}_p(y)) \rangle_{fgh}.$$

For (i, j) in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^2$ and $\cdot = \emptyset, \pm$, define

$$V(\mathbf{f})_{ij}^{\cdot} = V(\mathbf{f})^{\cdot} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V(g)_i \otimes_L V(h)_j$$

(so that $V(\mathbf{f}, g, h)^{\cdot}$ is the direct sum of the submodules $V(\mathbf{f})_{ij}^{\cdot}$). Then $\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})$ belongs to $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f})_{\beta\beta}^{\cdot})$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.6), hence the linear form

$$\langle \exp_p^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})), \cdot \rangle_{fgh} : V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)/\text{Fil}^0 \rightarrow L$$

factors through the map $\text{pr}_{\alpha\alpha} : V_{\text{dR}}(f, g, h)/\text{Fil}^0 \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V(\mathbf{f})_{\alpha\alpha})/\text{Fil}^0$ induced by the projection $V(\mathbf{f}, g, h) \rightarrow V(\mathbf{f})_{\alpha\alpha}$. Since by definition (cf. Section 3.1)

$$\text{pr}_{\alpha\alpha}(\log_p(\text{res}_p(y))) = \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\text{res}_p(y)) \cdot \eta_f \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}}$$

the claim Equation (43) follows from Equation (44).

After setting

$$\exp_{\alpha\alpha}^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})) = \langle \exp_p^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})), \eta_f \otimes \omega_{g_{\alpha}} \otimes \omega_{h_{\alpha}} \rangle_{fgh},$$

Equations (42) and (43) prove the equality

$$(45) \quad \langle \kappa(\mathbf{f}, g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}), \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{f}g_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}} = \log_{\alpha\alpha}(\text{res}_p(\cdot)) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \exp_{\alpha\alpha}^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o)$$

of $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ -valued L -linear forms on the Selmer group $\text{Sel}(\mathbf{Q}, V(\mathbf{f}, g, h))$.

By Proposition 7.3 of [BSV20], the Perrin-Riou logarithm \mathcal{L}_f introduced in Section 3.2 factors through the map p_f defined in Equation (39), and hence gives rise to a morphism (denoted again by the same symbol)

$$\mathcal{L}_f : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{fgh}.$$

Moreover, for each local class \mathfrak{z} in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha)_f)$ one has (cf. loc. cit.)

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\mathfrak{z})(w_o) = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f}{p \alpha_g \alpha_h}\right)} \cdot \exp_{\alpha\alpha}^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{z})).$$

Applying \mathcal{L}_f to both sides of the identity

$$p_f(\text{res}_p(\kappa(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_\alpha, \mathbf{h}_\alpha))) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o),$$

and using the explicit reciprocity law Equation (28), one then gets the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha\alpha}(A, \varrho) \pmod{\mathcal{I}^2} = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{\alpha_f}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f}{p \alpha_g \alpha_h}\right)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \exp_{\alpha\alpha}^*(\rho_{w_o}(\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathbf{u}})) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - u_o).$$

Theorem 3.2 follows from the previous equation and Equation (45).

References

- [AI20] F. Andreatta and A. Iovita. Triple product p -adic L -functions associated to finite slope p -adic families of modular forms. *Duke Math. J.*, to appear (available at <http://www.mat.unimi.it/users/andreat/research.html>), 2020. 8
- [BDP19] Adel Betina, Mladen Dimitrov, and Alice Pozzi. On the failure of Gorensteinness at weight 1 Eisenstein points of the eigencurve, 2019. 8
- [BSV20] Massimo Bertolini, Marco Adamo Seveso, and Rodolfo Venerucci. Reciprocity laws for balanced diagonal classes. *Preprint*, 2020. 4, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23, 25
- [BSV21a] M. Bertolini, M. A. Seveso, and R. Venerucci. Heegner points and exceptional zeros of Garrett p -adic L -functions. *Preprint*, 2021. 18
- [BSV21b] Massimo Bertolini, Marco Adamo Seveso, and Rodolfo Venerucci. On exceptional zeros of Garrett–Hida p -adic L -functions. *Preprint*, 2021. 7, 8
- [DLR15] Henri Darmon, Alan Lauder, and Victor Rotger. Stark points and p -adic iterated integrals attached to modular forms of weight one. *Forum Math. Pi*, 3:e8, 95, 2015. 2, 20
- [DR16] Henri Darmon and Victor Rotger. Elliptic curves of rank two and generalised Kato classes. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 3:Paper No. 27, 32, 2016. 2, 20
- [DR20] H. Darmon and V. Rotger. p -adic families of diagonal cycles. *Preprint*, 2020. 15
- [Hsi20] M.-L. Hsieh. Hida families and p -adic triple product L -functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, to appear (available at <http://www.math.sinica.edu.tw/mlhsieh/research.htm>), 2020. 4, 8
- [MTT86] B. Mazur, J. Tate, and J. Teitelbaum. On p -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):1–48, 1986. 7
- [Nek06] Jan Nekovář. Selmer complexes. *Astérisque*, (310):viii+559, 2006. 6, 11, 12, 13

- [NN16] Jan Nekovář and Wiesława Nizioł. Syntomic cohomology and p -adic regulators for varieties over p -adic fields. *Algebra Number Theory*, 10(8):1695–1790, 2016. 9
- [Pot13] J. Pottharst. *Analytic families of finite-slope Selmer groups*. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(7), 2013. 8
- [Ven13] R. Venerucci. *p -adic regulators and p -adic analytic families of modular forms*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Milan, 2013. 14
- [Ven16a] Rodolfo Venerucci. Exceptional zero formulae and a conjecture of Perrin-Riou. *Invent. Math.*, 203(3):923–972, 2016. 21, 22
- [Ven16b] Rodolfo Venerucci. p -adic regulators and p -adic families of modular representations. *Preprint*, 2016. 21